TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No.	No. and Name.	Date.	Subject.	Page
1	Sir G. Clerk... (Constantinople) **No. 207**	1932 June 21	Saudi-Turkish relations ... ... Reports on visit of Emir Feisal to Turkey	1
2	... ...	July 2	Italo-Turkish territorial waters ... ... Text of Italo-Turkish Agreement of 4th January, 1932, regarding delimitation of waters between Castellorizo and the Turkish coast	2
3	League of Nations...	July 15	Turkey and the League of Nations ... ... Text of Assembly's resolution of 6th July, 1932, regarding invitation to Turkey to become a member of the League of Nations	4
4	League of Nations...	July 15	Turkey and the League of Nations ... ... Turkish Government's reply to the Assembly's invitation to Turkey to become a member of the League of Nations (see No. 3)	4
5	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 236**	July 10	Turkey and the League of Nations ... ... Reports on debate in Turkish Assembly on League's invitation to Turkey to become a member. Invitation clearly gave much pleasure	5
6	Mr. Palairet... (Bucharest) **No. 24. Tel. Saving**	July 12	Straits Convention ... ... Minister for Foreign Affairs' enquiry whether Turkey had approached His Majesty's Government regarding a possible revision of the Straits Convention. Question of considerable interest to Roumania	6
7	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 237**	July 12	Turkey and the League of Nations ... ... Refers to No. 5. Reports Turkish appreciation of speeches made at Geneva on occasion of issue of invitation to Turkey to join the League. Letter addressed to Soviet Chargé d'Affaires by Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs	6
8	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 241**	July 19	Turkish finances ... ... Refers to Part XXIII, No. 35. Reports budget for 1932-33 voted by Assembly. Analyses its provisions	7
9	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 247**	July 20	Franco-Turkish relations ... ... Refers to Part XXIII, No. 56. Reports changed tone of Turkish press and tribute paid in *République* to M. Herriot	8
10	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 249**	July 21	Turkish Historical Congress ... ... Reports on establishment and functioning, under the Gazi's auspices, of the Historical Research Commission and proceedings of Congress, and discusses Gazi's probable object—to give the Turks a tradition and heritage which would be an object of their pride	8
11	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 250**	July 21	Turkish political situation ... ... Transmits and comments on report by military attaché on conversations with the ex-Khedive Latter's opinion that the Gazi had lost much of his power. Relations between Gazi and Ismet Pasha obscure	10

No.	No. and Name.	Date.	Subject.	Page
12	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 257**	1932 July 23	Turkey and the League of Nations ... ... Refers to No. 7. Transmits and comments on further letter addressed by Minister for Foreign Affairs to Soviet Chargé d'Affaires in connexion with Turkey's entry into League. Letter is similar to that sent by the Germans to the Soviets in 1926	12
13	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 258**	July 24	Italo-Turkish relations ... ... Reports details of Italian naval visit to Constantinople. Comments on absence of exchange of civilities and hospitality with Turkish naval authorities	14
14	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 266**	Aug. 1	Straits Convention ... ... Reports denial by President of Straits Commission of report that Soviets were pressing Turkey to raise the question of the abolition of international control or to demand the revision of the convention	15
15	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 299**	Aug. 29	Turkish Linguistic Conference ... ... Refers to No. 10. Reports on Gazi's ethnological studies and conclusions which have given rise to the holding of the conference. Regrets that Gazi should have become so obsessed by his studies, results of which may create ridicule abroad	15
16	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 310**	Sept. 18	Anglo-Turkish relations ... ... Reports on passing naval visit on 16th September. Explains misunderstanding which arose regarding salute	17
17	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 311**	Sept. 18	Turkish Cabinet ... ... Reports and comments on appointment of Celal Bey as Minister of National Economy. Draws attention to importance of appointment, as radical measures are required to improve the economic situation	17
18	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 317**	Sept. 29	Turkish Cabinet ... ... Reports appointment of Rezit Galip Bey as Minister of Public Instruction. Official denial of report that further changes are contemplated	17
19	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 326**	Oct. 1	Turkish Cabinet ... ... Refers to Nos. 17 and 18, and comments further on Cabinet changes. The appointment of a new Minister of National Economy is the more important change. Circumstances which brought it about	19
20	To Sir G. Clerk **No. 395**	Oct. 10	Saudi-Turkish relations ... ... Record of conversation with Turkish Ambassador, who stated that Turks were supplying Ibn Saud with 100 rifles. Ambassador drew attention to improper language used in Cyprus by a Turk called Merut and enquired whether anything could be done to prevent a repetition	20
21	Sir G. Clerk... **No. 334**	Oct. 13	Turkish Linguistic Congress ... ... Reports proceedings of congress and speeches delivered. Old Turkish words are being searched out and a new language is to be created	21

	No. and Name.	Date.	Subject.	Page
		1932		
22	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 347**	Oct. 29	Syrian-Turkish relations ... ... Reports signature on 27th October of protocol concerning Turkish properties in Syria and Syrian properties in Turkey and of provisional railway agreement. Conclusion of agreements is largely due to French Ambassador, who has brought about great improvement in Franco-Turkish relations	23
23	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 350**	Oct. 29	Perso-Turkish relations ... ... Reports arrival in Turkey on official visit of Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs, and Feroghi Khan's statements to the press	23
24	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 352**	Oct. 31	Perso-Turkish relations ... ... Minister for Foreign Affairs' statement regarding matters to be discussed with Persian Minister for Foreign Affairs. Existing treaties will probably be remodelled, and relations with neighbours of the two countries reviewed	24
25	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 353**	Oct. 31	Middle-East Security Pact ... ... Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs' suggestion for conclusion of pact of non-aggression between Turkey, Persia and Iraq, and to which His Majesty's Government might be a party. Minister for Foreign Affairs promised to develop his idea later, but thought His Majesty's Government's withdrawal from Treaty of Angora might provide occasion for conclusion of pact	25
26	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 354**	Nov. 2	Turkish Assembly ... ... Reports opening on 1st November. President's speech was colourless, especially as regards foreign relations, and for the most part was received in silence	25
27	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 355**	Nov. 2	Republic Day in Turkey ... ... Report on celebration at Angora, where proceedings were on usual lines. Crowd got temporarily out of hand at conclusion of parade	26
28	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 356**	Nov. 2	Republic Day in Angora ... ... Refers to No. 27. Reports on proceedings at dinner to heads of missions and subsequent ball. Incident in connexion with Egyptian Minister's fez, and Gazi's conversation with heads of various missions	27
29	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 362**	Nov. 8	Perso-Turkish relations ... ... Refers to No. 24. Reports signature of treaties of friendship and of neutrality, and political and economic co-operation, and of provisional extradition agreement. Communiqué issued after Persian Minister for Foreign Affairs' visit	29
30	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 363**	Nov. 9	Proposed Middle-East Non-Aggression Pact ... Refers to No. 25. Reports Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs' suggestion for pact between Turkey, Iraq and Persia, to which he proposed that His Majesty's Government should be a party. Transmits memorandum by Mr. Morgan containing further information regarding Minister for Foreign Affairs' scheme	30
31	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 365**	Nov. 6	Syrian-Turkish relations ... ... Refers to No. 22. Information received from French Ambassador regarding Properties and Railway Agreements signed on 27th October	32

	No. and Name.	Date.	Subject.	Page
		1932		
32	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 367**	Nov. 12	Franco-Turkish relations ... ... Reports on enthusiasm in Turkish Assembly at receipt from French Chamber of congratulations on Turkey's entry into the League. Improvement in Franco-Turkish relations is marked	33
33	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 368**	Nov. 12	American customs specialist for Turkey ... ... Reports that Mr. Vorteil has taken up his duties	34
34	Mr. Morgan ... ... (Constantinople) **No. 370**	Nov. 12	Perso-Turkish relations ... ... Reports exchange of ratifications of Frontier Agreement and of an agreement covering questions of arbitration, conciliation and judicial procedure	34
35	Sir G. Clerk... ... **No. 372**	Nov. 12	Syria-Turkish Railway Agreement ... ... Transmits text of agreement signed on 27th October	34
36	Mr. Morgan... ... **No. 19. Tel.**	Dec. 9	Egyptian-Turkish relations ... ... Egyptian Government's note to Turkey regarding removal of Minister's fez at Republic Day dinner. Incident is denied and there is a tendency to brazen the matter out. *Daily Herald* and Reuter are also blamed for giving publicity to alleged incident	37
37	Mr. Morgan... ... **No. 399**	Dec. 10	Egyptian-Turkish relations ... ... Refers to No. 28. Reports storm which has arisen as a result of removal of Egyptian Minister's fez. False version of incident published in Turkey. Hopes that matter may sink into oblivion	38
38	Sir P. Loraine ... (Cairo) **No. 224. Tel.**	Dec. 16	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 28. Danger of rupture between Egypt and Turkey. Reports that, in conversations with Turkish Chargé d'Affaires and Sidky Pasha, he has exerted his influence in a calming sense	39
39	To Sir P. Loraine ... **No. 247. Tel.**	Dec. 19	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 38. Approves language and trusts he will have further opportunity of promoting early and favourable settlement	40
40	Sir P. Loraine ... **No. 229. Tel.**	Dec. 23	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 39. Substance of Turkish reply to Egyptian note and of draft Egyptian reply, which, as subsequently modified, is entirely conciliatory. Suggests moderating influence at Angora	40
41	Sir P. Loraine ... **No. 232. Tel. Conf.**	Dec. 26	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 40. Has received final text of Egyptian reply, which is wholly commendable. If Turks reject it, it will be clear that they wish to pick a quarrel	41
42	To Mr. Morgan ... **No. 35. Tel.**	Dec. 28	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 40. Leaves any informal moderating influence to his discretion	41
43	Mr. Morgan... ... **No. 21. Tel.**	Dec. 29	Fez incident ... ... Refers to No. 42. Under-Secretary for Foreign Affairs urged to accept Egyptian reply as closing the incident. Under-Secretary paid a tribute to moderating influence of His Majesty's Government, and said that telegraphic summary of Egyptian note was entirely satisfactory	41

No. and Name.	Date.	Subject.	Page
44 Mr. Morgan... No. 407	1932 Dec. 19	Turkish railways ... ... Reports establishment of through railway communication between Samsun, Angora and Mersin	42
45 Mr. Morgan... No. 411	Dec. 20	Perso-Turkish relations ... ... Refers to No. 29. Transmits texts of treaties of friendship and of neutrality and political and economic co-operation	42

Subject Index.

[The figures refer to serial numbers of documents.]

American Customs specialist for Turkey.—33.
Anglo-Turkish relations.—16.
Arabia—*see* Saudi Arabia.
Assembly, Turkish.—20.
Baghdad Railway.—35.
Budget, Turkish.—8.
Cabinet, Turkish.—17–19.
Customs adviser.—33.
Egyptian-Turkish relations—*see* Fez incident.
Fez incident.—36–45.
Finances, Turkish.—8.
Franco-Turkish relations.—9, 32.
Italo-Turkish relations.—19.
Italo-Turkish territorial waters.—2.
League of Nations, Turkey and.—3–5, 6, 12.
Middle-East Security Pact.—25, 30.
Naval visits.—13, 16.
Perso-Turkish relations.—23, 24, 29, 34, 45.
Political situation, Turkish.—11, 17–19.
Railways, Syrian-Turkish.—35.
Railways, Turkish.—44.
Republic Day celebrations.—27, 28.
Saudi Arabia-Turkish Relations.—1, 20.
Straits Convention.—6, 14.
Syrian-Turkish relations.—22, 31, 35.
Territorial waters—*see* Italo-Turkish territorial waters.
Turkish Historical Congress.—10.
Turkish Linguistic Conference.—15, 21.

Printed for the use of the Foreign Office.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Further Correspondence respecting Turkey.

Part XXIV.

[E 3277/1494/25] No. 1

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received July 1.)

(No. 207.)

Sir, *Constantinople, June 21, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report that on the 8th June His Highness the Emir Feisal, son of the King of Hejaz-Nejd, arrived in Constantinople by Soviet steamer from Odessa. He was accompanied to Turkey by M. Florinski, Soviet Marshal of the Diplomatic Corps, and both on his arrival and during his stay in Turkey has been the object of assiduous attentions on the part of members of the Soviet Embassy.

2. After a short stay in Constantinople, the Emir, with his suite, among whom were Fuad Hamza Bey, deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs, and Halid Eyubi Bey, aide-de-camp, left for Angora in a special saloon coach placed at their disposal by the Turkish Government, and was the guest of the Government from the 12th to the 15th June, afterwards returning to Constantinople, where he is to spend a few days before leaving for Tehran via the Caucasus, and thence for Bagdad.

3. While at Angora the Emir was received by the President of the Republic, who gave a dinner in his honour at his new residence at Cankaya, and was the guest at banquets or receptions given by Ismet Pasha, Tevfik Rüstü Bey, Kâzim Pasha, President of the Grand National Assembly, and Hikmet Bey, secretary-general of the Gazi. In deference to the opinions of the Emir, no alcoholic refreshments were served at these gatherings. In his turn, the Emir gave a dinner to the President of the Republic and leading Turks. Before leaving Angora the Emir had an amicable conversation at the Iraq Legation with His Highness the Emir Zeid, Minister of Iraq and brother to the King of that country.

4. In the course of the dinner given at Cankaya by the President of the Republic, speeches were exchanged which, while on conventional lines, were marked with slightly more restraint on the Wahabi than on the Turkish side. The Gazi expressed his pleasure at the efforts which were being made to set the Hejaz and Nejd on the way of progress, and his best wishes for the future of those countries under the strong administration of King Abdulaziz, while the Emir confined himself to acknowledging with thanks the Gazi's wishes and reciprocating them. The official communiqué issued on the conclusion of the visit referred to cordial and sincere conversations, harmony of views, feelings of mutual confidence, and the influence of that visit on the consolidation of peace in the Near East.

5. The time between banquets was devoted to inspections of banking and military establishments. In this latter connexion it is noticeable that the visit of the Emir to Turkey is distinguished from that of other important personages by the exhibition to him of part of Turkey's military resources, and by the rather unusual participation, in his reception and in his send-off, of high military authorities. The Emir was shown over military factories and barracks, under the guidance of Ali Sait Pasha, Army Inspector; he assisted at tactical exercises,

was present at a display of military horsemanship, and witnessed an aerial review in which some twenty-five military aeroplanes from Eskişehir took part. One of these flew into an obstacle when starting and the pilot was killed. The others indulged in formation flying, which to a lay observer seemed rather ragged, but the diving and other acrobatic feats seemed temerarious but successful.

6. Press comment on the visit is curiously unanimous in insisting that Turkey's interest in Hejaz and Nejd arises out of pleasure at their having won complete independence, and in declaring that it is, and always has been, Turkey's desire that Moslem States detached from the Ottoman Empire should have complete independence. For this reason she has always disliked the term "mandate," which signifies subjection. She had only consented to cede the Vilayet of Mosul because the cession was to be made to an independent Iraq. The line to be followed in regard to Syria by France, which is at present enjoying a "bad press" in Turkey, is thus clearly indicated. Yunus Nadi, writing as usual with his tongue in his cheek, after proclaiming Turkey's yearning for the independence of her former possessions, praises the austere organiser of the Hejaz and Nejd who has obtained independence for his subjects, has endowed them with a strong Government, dealing out severe justice and ruling with iron discipline, and he concludes with an outburst of joy at the progress made by the Arab peoples, Turkey's co-religionists.

7. I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Minister at Jedda.

I have, &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK.

C 5501/411/22 No. 2

Italo-Turkish Agreement of January 4, 1932, regarding the Delimitation of Territorial Waters between the Island of Castellorizo and the Coast of Anatolia. (*Received in Foreign Office, July 2, 1932.*)

LE Gouvernement royal d'Italie, représenté par son Excellence le Baron Pompeo Aloisi, Ambassadeur extraordinaire et plénipotentiaire en Turquie, d'une part, et le Gouvernement de la République turque, représenté par son Excellence M. le Dr. Tevfik Rüştü Bey, Ministre des Affaires étrangères, Député d'Izmir, d'autre part, animés du désir de maintenir et de consolider davantage les relations de sincère amitié qui fort heureusement existent entre eux, ont décidé de régler par un arrangement direct le différend surgi entre l'Italie et la Turquie au sujet de la souveraineté sur les îlots situés entre les côtes d'Anatolie et l'île de Castellorizo, ainsi que sur l'îlot de Kara-Ada et de procéder à la délimitation des eaux territoriales environnant lesdits îlots dont l'appartenance a fait l'objet d'une contestation entre eux, à la suite de l'interprétation des dispositions y relatives du Traité de Lausanne.

Les soussignés, après avoir exhibé leurs pleins pouvoirs, reconnus en bonne et due forme, sont tombés d'accord sur les points suivants :

ARTICLE 1er.

Le Gouvernement italien reconnait la souveraineté de la Turquie sur les îlots ci-dessous dénommés :

Volo (Çatal-Ada), Ochendra (Uvendire), Fournachia (Furnakya), Kato Volo (Katovolo), Prassondi (Prasudi) (au sud-est de Catovolo). Les îlots de Tchatallota, Pighi, Nissi-Tis-Pighi, Récif Agricelia, Prousseciiss (Rocher), Pano Makri, Kato Makri (et les rochers compris), Marathi, Roccie Voutzaky (Rocci Vutchaki), Dacia (Dasya), Nissi Tis-Dacia, Prassondi (au nord de Dacia), Alimentarya (Alimentaria), Caravola (Karavola).

ARTICLE 2.

L'îlot de Kara-Ada, situé dans la baie de Bodrum, appartiendra également à la Turquie.

ARTICLE 3.

Par contre, le Gouvernement turc reconnait la souveraineté de l'Italie sur les îlots situés dans la zone délimitée par une circonférence qui aura pour centre le dôme de l'église de la ville de Castellorizo et pour rayon la distance entre ledit centre et le cap San-Stephano (point du vent), à savoir : Psoradia, Polyphados, St. Georges (deux îles dénommées dans la carte anglaise N. 236 : St. Georges, celle du sud, et Agrielaia, celle du nord).

Psomi (Strongylo, carte anglaise 236), Cutsumbora (Koutsoumbas) (Rochers), Mavro Poinaki (Mavro Poinachi), Mavro Poinis (Mavro Poini).

En dehors de ces îlots compris dans la circonférence précitée, les îlots de St. Georges (Rho), Dragonera, Ross et Hypsili (Stronghyli) appartiendront également à l'Italie.

ARTICLE 4.

Il est bien entendu que toutes les îles et tous les îlots et rochers se trouvant des deux côtés de la ligne de délimitation des eaux définie par la présente convention, que leurs noms y aient été mentionnés ou non, appartiennent à l'Etat sous la souveraineté duquel se trouve placée la zone où lesdites îles et îlots et rochers sont situés.

ARTICLE 5.

Les hautes parties contractantes sont également tombées d'accord pour fixer la délimitation des eaux territoriales comme suit :

A l'Est :

D'un point situé à moitié distance entre le cap San-Stephano (point du vent) et le cap Gata ;

De là, en ligne droite jusqu'à un point situé à moitié distance entre Psomi et Prousseciiss (Prussekli) ;

De ce point, en ligne droite sur un point situé à moitié distance entre Mavro-Poinis et Prousseciiss ;

De ce point, en ligne droite sur un point situé à moitié distance entre la pointe Niphtis et le rocher Prousseciiss ;

De ce dernier point, en ligne droite sur un point situé à moitié distance entre la côte nord-est de l'île d'Hypsili (Stronghyli) et la côte sud-ouest de l'île de Nissi-Tis-Dacia ;

De ce point, en ligne droite jusqu'à un point qui se trouve à 3 milles au sud de Tugh-Burnu.

Au Sud :

De ce dernier point, la ligne passe jusqu'à un point situé à 3 milles au sud de la pointe Sud Hypsili pour se joindre à la frontière maritime qui ne rentre pas en discussion.

Au Nord :

Du point situé à moitié distance entre le cap San-Stephano (point du vent) et cap Gata, la ligne va en ligne droite à un point situé à moitié distance entre le cap San-Stephano (point du vent) et le cap Vathy ;

De ce point, en ligne droite jusqu'à un point situé à moitié distance entre le cap du Limenari et les rochers Voutzaki (Rocci Vutzaki) ;

De ce dernier point, jusqu'à un point situé à moitié distance entre l'île Dragonera et les rochers Voutzaki (Rocci Vutchaki) ;

De ce dernier point, la ligne passe vers le nord jusqu'à un point situé à moitié distance entre le point nord-est de l'île St. Georges (Rho) et le point le plus proche de la côte d'Anatolie au nord du point de l'île ;

De ce point, jusqu'à un point situé à moitié distance entre Prassondi et le point sud-ouest de l'île St. Georges (Rho) ;

De ce dernier point, la ligne va en ligne droite jusqu'à un point situé à 3 milles au sud de l'île de Volo pour se joindre à la frontière maritime qui ne rentre pas en discussion.

La ligne de démarcation, telle qu'elle est décrite dans le présent article, fixée par les hautes parties contractantes en vue de déterminer l'appartenance des

iles et îlots se trouvant de part et d'autre de cette ligne, rejoint à l'est à un point situé à 3 milles de distance au sud de Tugh-Burnu, et à l'ouest à un point situé à 3 milles de distance au sud de l'île de Volo, la frontière maritime générale qui n'est point en discussion entre la Turquie et l'Italie.

ARTICLE 6.

Les noms des localités susmentionnées sont empruntés aux cartes italienne (624), française (5551) et anglaise (236).

Les hautes parties contractantes sont d'accord qu'en cas de divergence entre le texte de la présente convention et les cartes y annexées, c'est le texte qui fera foi.

ARTICLE 7.

La présente convention sera ratifiée et les ratifications en seront échangées à Rome aussitôt que faire se pourra.

Elle entrera en vigueur quinze jours après la date de l'échange des ratifications.

En foi de quoi, les plénipotentiaires des hautes parties contractantes ont signé la présente convention et y ont apposé leurs sceaux.

Fait en double exemplaire à Ankara, le 4 janvier 1932.

ALOISI. T. RUSTU.

W 8081/4372/98 No. 3.

LEAGUE OF NATIONS.

Resolution regarding an Invitation to Turkey to become a Member of the League of Nations, adopted by the Assembly on July 6, 1932.—(Received in Foreign Office, July 15.)

THE Assembly,

Recognising that the Turkish Republic fulfils the general conditions laid down in article 1 of the Covenant for a State to become a member of the League of Nations,

Decides that the Turkish Republic shall be invited to enter the League of Nations and to give it the benefit of its valuable co-operation,

And instructs the Secretary-General to take such action as may be necessary in pursuance of this resolution.

Geneva, July 6, 1932.

W 8079/4372/98 No. 4.

LEAGUE OF NATIONS.

Reply from the Turkish Government to the Invitation to become a Member of the League of Nations.—(Received in Foreign Office, July 15.)

Note by the Secretary-General.

Geneva, July 11, 1932.

THE Secretary-General has the honour to communicate to the Assembly the Turkish Government's reply to the invitation extended to it to become a member of the League of Nations under the Assembly resolution of the 6th July, 1932.

Tevfik Rüştü to the Secretary-General of the League of Nations.

Sir, *Ankara, July 9, 1932.*

In reply to the invitation which you transmitted to me on behalf of the Assembly, I have the honour to inform you that the Turkish Republic is prepared to become a member of the League of Nations, and that the obligations assumed by Turkey under the treaties concluded hitherto, including those concluded with States non-members of the League of Nations, are in no way incompatible with the duties of a member of the League of Nations. In this connexion, I would point out that all the treaties signed before the admission of Turkey have been concluded in the spirit of the Pact of Paris, to which the majority of the members of the League of Nations are also signatories.

In making this declaration it is my duty to add that Turkey is in a special position as a consequence of military obligations ensuing from the conventions signed at Lausanne on the 24th July, 1923.

Such being the case, I desire to recall the terms of the note which was signed by the representatives of Belgium, France, the British Empire, Italy, Poland and Czechoslovakia on the 1st December, 1925, and which was quoted by the German Government in its letter of the 8th February, 1926, to the Secretary-General, concerning the admission of Germany to the League of Nations. The last paragraph of that note read as follows:—

> "The obligations resulting from the said article (article 16) on the members of the League must be understood to mean that each State member of the League is bound to co-operate loyally and effectively in support of the Covenant and in resistance to any act of aggression, to an extent which is compatible with its military situation, and takes its geographical position into account."

I have, &c.

TEVFIK RUSTU,
Minister for Foreign Affairs.

W 8073/4372/98 No. 5.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received July 15.)

(No. 236.)

Sir, *Constantinople, July 10, 1932.*

WITH reference to my telegram No. 14 of the 9th instant, regarding Turkey's acceptance of the invitation to join the League of Nations, I have the honour to report that the Grand National Assembly, which was to have risen on the 3rd July, continued in session until yesterday, for the express purpose of waiting for the arrival of the invitation and of approving the reply to it.

2. The note of yesterday's proceedings was one of enthusiasm and pleasure, roused particularly by the warmth of the invitation and the eulogistic references to Turkey in the speeches of the representatives of various nations at Geneva. Tevfik Rüştü Bey, in asking the Grand National Assembly to approve the text of the reply of the Turkish Government to the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, said that it was clear that the nations cherished for Kemalist Turkey the same cordial and sincere feelings which animated Turkey towards them. The text of the reply was unanimously approved, and is to-day published in the press. In it the Turkish Government states that the agreements made by Turkey with other States, some of which were not members of the League of Nations, were not incompatible with the obligations of a member of the League, and that they were in harmony with the spirit of the Pact of Paris. Certain sections, of a military nature, of the Treaty of Lausanne, signed on the 24th July, 1923, were however, in a special category. The Turkish Government then adds that it invites a reference to a note of the 1st December, 1925, quoted by Germany in a letter which it sent to the Secretary-General of the League on the 8th February, 1926, on the subject of Germany's entry into the League, and especially to the last paragraph of the note, which pointed out that the engagements assumed under article 16 by members of the League of Nations signify that members are obliged, as far as their military and geographical situation permits, to co-operate loyally in assuring respect for the pact and preventing aggression.

3. It will be seen that the reply does not specifically state that Turkey accepts the invitation to join the League, but rather gives Turkey's interpretation of the conditions on which it enters. The decision which Turkey has been hesitating to take for the past four years is now, however, taken. It will be remembered that Turkey, in the beginning, expressed determination not to join unless pressed to do so, and unless offered a permanent or semi-permanent seat on the Council. Time went by without any offer of this nature being forthcoming, and it almost began to appear to Turkey that even an invitation to enter the League without any bribe was unlikely to materialise, and that she might have to apply for membership, a more humiliating affair than being offered membership and more difficult to explain to Russia. A chance remark of the Spanish representative came at this juncture, and enabled Tevfik Rüştü to let it be known that Turkey had been invited to become a member, and since then events have moved quickly to culminate now.

4. It is clear that the desire of Turkey to enter the League was greater than that of the League for its adhesion. Turkey obviously desires to be present on terms of equality at gatherings of the chief representatives of other countries, and the fact of her entry into the League marks the definite advance of Turkey from a nation suspecting that it is regarded as inferior by others to one now treated with respect and on equal terms by all.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 3599/2/44 No. 6.

Mr. Palairet to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July* 18.)

(No. 24. Saving.)
(Telegraphic.) R. *Bucharest, July* 12, 1932.

UNDER-SECRETARY of State for Foreign Affairs asked me a few days ago whether it was true that Turkish Government, now that Turkey was joining League of Nations, had approached His Majesty's Government in regard to a revision of Straits Convention. If so, in what form had question been raised and how would His Majesty's Government reply? Question greatly interested Roumania.

I told him I had no information and would communicate his enquiry to you if next bag did not enlighten me.

I see no reference to matter in last print or sections, so should be glad to know what to reply.

(Repeated to Constantinople.)

W 8287/4372/98 No. 7.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July* 20.)

(No. 237.)
Sir, *Constantinople, July* 12, 1932.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 236 of the 10th instant, regarding the entry of Turkey into the League of Nations, I have the honour to report that the French text of Turkey's reply to the invitation of the League is now available, and differs in some respects from the summary given in my previous despatch. I enclose a copy(1) of the French text, from which it will be seen that Turkey expresses her readiness to become a member of the League, and states that her obligations under existing treaties (apart from certain obligations arising out of the Treaty of Lausanne of the 24th July, 1923) are not incompatible with the duties of a member of the League.

2. The tone of the speeches of representatives of various States at Geneva, on the occasion of the issue of the invitation to Turkey to become a member of the League, especially those of the British and Australian delegates, have aroused so

(1) Not printed.

much enthusiasm that Tevfik Rüştü has telegraphed his thanks to each representative. The telegrams to the Australian delegate, to Lord Londonderry, to M. Paul-Boncour, M. Scialoja and to M. Michalacopoulos are very cordially worded. In addition, Tevfik Rüştü has announced that he will inform non-members of the League, namely, the United States, Brazil, Afghanistan, &c., of the receipt of the invitation by Turkey, and that he has addressed a letter, of which I enclose the text, to the Soviet Chargé d'Affaires in Angora.(1) The necessity for the publication of this letter is not obvious, unless one assumes that Tevfik Rüştü has twinges of conscience in regard to his Russian friends. He himself states that the intention of the letter was that everything should be open and above board.

3. The thanks of Turkey to States in which Turkey has no diplomatic representative are to be conveyed to their missions in London through the Turkish Chargé d'Affaires. Asked why he had chosen the Chargé d'Affaires in London and not the Turkish representative in any other capital to perform this task, Tevfik Rüştü said that His Majesty's Government had always supported the Turkish point of view in regard to the League, and that it would be a mark of gratitude to His Majesty's Government to give instructions that these communications should be made in London.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 3825/70/44 No. 8.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July* 28.)

(No. 241.)
Sir, *Constantinople, July* 19, 1932.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 136 of the 13th April, I have the honour to inform you that the budget for the financial year 1932-33 was voted by the Grand National Assembly on the 26th June last.

2. It will be observed, by reference to the attached tables(1) of approved estimates of revenue and expenditure, that these estimates show a marked reduction as compared to the approved estimates for the preceding year, revenue being established at £T. 169,354,800 as compared to £T. 186,705,596 for the year 1931-32, and expenditure at £T. 169,146,747 as against £T. 186,582,005.

3. Revenue in 1931-32 fell considerably short of approved estimates, and, therefore, in order to reduce the large deficit facing the Turkish Government on the budget estimates, the Ministry of Finance, the People's party and Budget Commission were obliged, in addition to cuts in expenditure, to impose a "crisis" tax as from the 1st December last. In this manner the budget deficit, which it was believed would have attained £T. 33,500,000, was reduced to £T. 10 million.

4. In establishing the budget estimates for the period under review (1932-33), a very careful scrutiny of financial and economic conditions was effected by the Budget Commission, as the result of which it was estimated that revenue would not exceed £T. 143,604,800, and, therefore, to meet estimated expenditure, an additional sum of £T. 25,750,000 had to be found by the imposition of additional taxation.

5. The analysis attached to the tables of revenue and expenditure forming appendices(1) to this despatch has been drawn up by the Ottoman Bank as the result of a survey of the parliamentary debates, and of the "Official Journal," and was furnished to the commercial secretary confidentially. It contains a very complete account of the situation.

6. Practically all departmental votes have been cut down, but the savings shown under the Departments of National Defence and Public Works in the table of approved estimates of expenditure are fictitious; being in reality £T. 5,500,000 for the Ministry of National Defence and £T. 6,500,000 for the Ministry of Public Works.

7. The increase in the vote granted under the heading "Public Debt" represents the transfer of credits figuring previously in the budgets of the

(1) Not printed.

Ministry of National Defence, Ministry of Public Works and Ministry of Finance in respect of Government commitments in connexion with armaments and railway construction.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

P.S.—I am sending a copy of this despatch to the Department of Overseas Trade.

G. R. C.

E 3828/2984/44 No. 9.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July* 28.)

(No. 247.) *Constantinople, July* 20, 1932.

Sir,

WITH reference to my despatch No. 200 of the 9th June enclosing an extract from the "République" in which strong exception was taken to the attitude of the "Temps" towards this country and to French policy towards Turkey, I have the honour to report that an article of a very different complexion appears in to-day's issue of the same journal.

2. The article, which is signed by Yunus Nadi, the editor, expresses gratification at the motion of congratulation recently passed by the French Chamber on the occasion of Turkey's entry into the League. A fulsome tribute is paid to M. Herriot who, it is suggested, might worthily take the place of Marianne as the symbolic representative of France, and his words about the traditional friendship of the two countries are reproduced in heavy type.

3. The concluding portion of the article is less lyrically worded. Regret is expressed at the occasional exhibitions of animosity towards Turkey which occur in France and which must, the writer thinks, be attributed to some "fundamental unfriendliness," though he does not accuse the French nation as a whole of cherishing such feelings. Indeed, he regards the present manifestation as a welcome proof to the contrary. As for Turkey, she wishes well to all countries and not least to France, of whose friendship she has just received such generous reassurance. The moral of the article would appear to be: "Let bygones be bygones."

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 3822/3822/44 No. 10.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July* 28.)

(No. 249.) *Constantinople, July* 21, 1932.

Sir,

TWO years ago the Gazi, seeking to fill the time which lies heavy on his hands at Cankaya, absorbed into his system a stimulating but inadequate draught of the Pierian waters, and became conscious of the existence of a light which, but for his initiative, would in all probability have still remained concealed beneath the Turkish bushel, namely, the prominent rôle played by Turks in bygone ages in the creation and development of our modern civilisation. An ungrateful world had, he perceived, quite failed to appreciate or even to acknowledge the considerable achievements of its Turkish ancestors, and the time had come to make it clear to all and sundry exactly to whom the nations were really indebted for the benefits of civilisation. The immediate outcome of this initiative was the formation of a Turkish Historical Research Commission, which was charged with the task of sifting and collecting evidence which would substantiate and establish the remarkable theory above indicated.

2. As the work of the Historical Research Commission proceeded, the results of its labours have been published at intervals in the form of an official Turkish history, the use of which has been made compulsory in all schools in the country. With the intention of concentrating a still wider range of attention on the results of its activities, the commission has recently, at the Gazi's instigation, convoked a Historical Congress which sat in Angora from the 2nd to the 11th July, and

which was composed of some 200 professors and teachers of history, archæologists, anthropologists and the members of the commission, many of whom delivered addresses which were followed with close attention by the Gazi, members of the Cabinet, Deputies and representatives of the press.

3. With a single exception, the speakers scrupulously and loyally confined themselves to elaborating and establishing the theory conceived by the Gazi that modern civilisation rests upon a Turkish foundation, whether it be European or Asiatic, the main theme, which they never seemed to tire of reiterating, being that the Turks originally moved westwards from Central Asia, and were responsible for the establishment of the first civilised communities in Western Asia and in Europe. It was maintained that the Aryans were Turks, and that any distinction drawn between them was false; all the credit which had been given to the Aryans for their achievements was therefore due to the Turks. Similarly, the great Mesopotamian civilisation was Turkish, for not only was Abu Muslim, the founder of the Abbaside dynasty in Bagdad, a Turk, but so also were the succeeding chiefs of that line and the greater part of the leading Islamic philosophers. The Ionian civilisation too, which was the forerunner of the Ægean civilisation, came from Asia Minor, and, in fact, the Ægean, Scythian, Attic, Sumerian and even the ancient Egyptian civilisations were all links in one chain and were all forged on the anvil of Altay. It was beyond doubt that the Turks were a brachycephalic race, and therefore the whole Alpine stock had a Turkish origin.

4. One participant in the congress, Zeki Velidi Bey, had the courage to assert that the Gazi's theory of the universality of the Turkish race was scientifically untenable. He thereby raised a storm of protest, and was so severely attacked and ridiculed by a leading Turkish archæologist that he found that he had no option but to resign his position as professor at the Faculty of Letters in the University of Constantinople.

5. At this point it is perhaps worth recalling that, about a year ago, Fuad Küprülüzade Bey, a philologist, and especially a "Turcologist" of European reputation, and a direct descendant of the famous family of Küprülü, which provided several Grand Viziers, was, for maintaining views similarly inconsistent with the Gazi's theory, removed on a transparently manufactured pretext from his position as dean of the Constantinople Faculty of Letters and professor of the first class, and degraded to that of professor of the third class.

6. Another rather surprising outcome of the congress is the offer made by my American colleague, in a letter to the president of the congress, to have the record of the proceedings of the congress translated into English and published in the United States for distribution amongst American universities and libraries, at his own expense, subject to the approval of the congress, which was, of course, gladly given.

7. There is one comment on the motives and results of the deliberations of the congress which I venture to submit as having in it some indication of the train of thought which prompted the Gazi to delve into the nebulous past in this manner. The comment is that of a Turk, who remarked that the conception of the Gazi's theory was evidently intended to produce a cult to replace Islam by giving the Turkish nation a tradition and a heritage which would be the object of their pride and the source of their *amour-propre* in much the same way as the cult of communism had been developed among the people of Soviet Russia. The president of the congress, Yusuf Akcura Bey, stated in his closing speech that the object of the researches undertaken by the commission was to give the Turkish people their proper place in history, and while numerous foreign experts will doubtless agree that that place is being secured largely by usurpation, it is reasonable to assume that the new Turkish history, as dispensed in Turkish schools, will tend to concentrate the attention of the new generation on the racial aspect of its past to the exclusion of all extraneous aspects such as its religious antecedents. I doubt not that every opportunity will be eagerly seized to secure as wide a publicity as possible for the new notions both at home and abroad, and the presence of two members of the Historical Research Commission at the forthcoming Prehistoric and Protohistoric Congress in London has, I feel sure, been decided upon with this end in view.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 3830/3830/44

No. 11.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(*Received July 25.*)

(No. 250.) *Constantinople, July 21, 1932.*

Sir,

I HAVE the honour to transmit to you herewith a copy of a despatch from my military attaché, giving an interesting account of conversations he has had recently with Abbas Hilmi Pasha, the ex-Khedive.

2. Abbas Hilmi is in many ways better qualified than most foreigners to know something of the real situation in inner Turkish circles, but much of what he said to Major O'Leary is more or less common knowledge, or, rather, general supposition, and on other points I should not be prepared to agree altogether with the views of his Highness.

3. For instance, Abbas Hilmi is not alone in finding the Gazi's relations with Ismet Pasha very obscure. We all of us do. My own opinion is that the two men are temperamentally different, which leads to occasional friction, but that they are united in their work for Turkey, and it will take a great deal to break that bond. As for the Gazi having lost much of his power, this is more or less guesswork. For some time there has been no occasion for the Gazi to assert his personality, but, should such an occasion arise, I should be surprised if his name were not found still to possess nearly all its old magic.

4. As regards the allusion to Afet Hanum, eldest of the Gazi's adopted daughters and a serious and responsible character, it is, I think, not so much his enemies as his boon companions who intrigue against her. She undoubtedly possesses a restraining influence over the Gazi, and is able to curtail his indulgence in all-night sittings of poker and douzico.

5. Except that I think that the ex-Khedive rather underrates Ismet Pasha, the rest of his Highness's observations in the fourth paragraph of Major O'Leary's despatch are more or less commonplaces; but I cannot agree with Abbas Hilmi's view, as expressed in the following paragraph, that Ismet Pasha was unfavourably impressed by much that he saw in Russia. My own impression is that Ismet Pasha, while alive to the obvious difficulties and weaknesses of the Soviet régime, yet considers that the two most satisfactory forms of modern Government are those of Bolshevik Russia and Fascist Italy, and seeks to apply the best points of both to the administration of Turkey.

6. Lastly, I should much doubt the Gazi being angry with Tevfik Rüştü Bey for bringing Turkey into the League of Nations. If it were true, it would go far to bear out Abbas Hilmi's view that the Gazi has lost much of his power, for certainly, until recently, there would have been no question whatever of Turkey entering the League of Nations if the Gazi had been opposed to the idea. Moreover, Turkey's entrance into the League of Nations is all in accordance with the Gazi's "westernising" efforts. From all I can learn, there is no doubt that Tevfik Rüştü suffered considerably under the strain of his recent journeys to Tehran, Moscow and Rome, and that the state of his health made it inadvisable for him to go to Geneva. Abbas Hilmi might reply that, in that case, the Government would normally have sent another Minister, such as Sükrü Kaya, who always acts as Minister for Foreign Affairs in the absence of Tevfik Rüştü. It could be replied to this by anyone who was familiar with Tevfik Rüştü Bey that, if his Excellency could not gather for himself the sweets of the official reception of Turkey into the League, he was not going to let any of his colleagues do so. But my own belief is that the explanation is much simpler, though purely conjectural. I gather that in certain quarters connected in various ways with the League of Nations the entry of Turkey has been by no means favourably regarded, especially in the case of the Armenians, and I think it quite likely that the Turkish Government, aware of this and fearing an awkward incident, and possibly an actual attempt at assassination, decided that it was better to avoid a formal *début* at Geneva.

I have, &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK.

Enclosure in No. 11.

Major O'Leary to Sir G. Clerk.

(No. 2. Confidential.)

Sir, *Constantinople, July 18, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to submit to your Excellency's notice some observations by His Highness Abbas Hilmi Pasha, ex-Khedive of Egypt, with whom I have recently had several opportunities of speaking.

The ex-Khedive, who describes himself as a Turk of Kavalla, professes sympathy for the Gazi as a fellow-Rumeliot. Their friendship dates from the War in Tripoli in 1912, when Enver Pasha, already jealous of Mustafa Kemal, tried to poison him. Two years later, when Enver informed all military attachés abroad that he was about to bring Turkey into the war as Germany's ally, Kemal was the only one who found the courage to protest.

Abbas Hilmi's disapproval of the present régime in this country is based on his conviction that the Anatolian is inferior to the European Turk. He attributes the complacence with which in the last forty years they have lost all their European possessions, from Crete to Western Thrace, to a fatuous self-sufficiency, and thinks that if they were to lose Constantinople to-morrow they would pretend that it was a happy release.

He considers that the Gazi has lost much of his power, but finds his relations with Ismet Pasha very obscure. The Gazi is surrounded by a small band of devoted Turks, all of European origin, including the commander of the Angora garrison. Afet Hanum watches him very closely, and Abbas recently warned her that the Gazi's enemies were intriguing to separate her from the President. He describes Ismet as a staff officer, who knows nothing of Europe, and thinks that there are few honest men in the Government, other than Aptulhalik, who is not clever. The dangerous clique is composed of such men as Mahout Esat, Sarasoglu Sükrü and Sükrü Kaya, "des anarchistes qui ont fait leurs études à Lausanne et à Genève." Fethi Bey is also quite honest, but not very intelligent. The Gazi likes him personally, but was obliged to suppress the Liberal party hurriedly, owing to its surprising popularity.

Abbas Hilmi thinks that Ismet Pasha was unfavourably impressed by much that he saw in Russia during his recent visit, and was not deceived by the demonstrations of military and industrial prowess. Profoundly convinced that Turkey has nothing to learn from the Bolshevik régime, the Prime Minister then went to Rome to study the opposing theory of government. The members of the Turkish delegation, talking amongst themselves, were heard by a friend of the ex-Khedive to suggest that, although the army to-day is loyal, a militia on the Italian model would be a very useful safeguard.

The Gazi is supposed to have expressed his anger with the Foreign Minister for bringing Turkey into the League of Nations after his engagements with Russia to the contrary, and to have said that it was shameful, and that someone else should go to Geneva, either Sükrü Kaya or Recep Bey. Perhaps this is the explanation of Tevfik Rüştü's recent indisposition.

The ex-Khedive professes to bear no ill-will to England for any friction during his twenty-three years in Egypt. He hopes that the mandate in Palestine will continue for many years, as the Jews cannot govern, and the Arabs, like Albanians in the Ottoman Empire, are only fit to be guardians and doorkeepers.

His Highness leaves in a few days to join his brother-in-law at Bad Gastein, and will be back in Turkey at the end of November.

I have, &c.

B. J. O'LEARY.

Major, R.A.

[E 3834/449/44] No. 12

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received July 29.)

(No. 257.)

Sir, *Constantinople, July 23, 1932.*

IN my despatch No. 237 of the 12th July, I enclosed the text of a letter addressed by the Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs to the Soviet Chargé d'Affaires on the occasion of this country's entry into the League of Nations.

2. I now have the honour to transmit an extract from the "République" of the 21st instant, giving the text of a further communication from Tevfik Rüştü Bey to M. Astakhoff on the same subject. It will be observed that the new letter resembles in many respects the note sent by Dr. Stresemann to the Soviet Ambassador in Berlin on the 24th April, 1926 (not 1924, as stated by the "République"), shortly before Germany's entry into the League. Assuming that the text given by the "République" is correct, the German letter is the more cautiously worded of the two.

3. The main points of the new Turkish letter, which are two in number, may be summarised as follows:—

(i) Soviet Russia is assured that Turkey's entry into the League in no way affects her undertakings as given in the Pact of Paris of the 17th December, 1925, to the effect that the two countries shall in no circumstances take up arms against one another or join in agreements or alliances directed against either Power. The letter points out that Turkey's desire to join the League is due to her belief that this society is designed for the peaceful settlement of international disputes. Should Turkey, contrary to her expectations, observe any desire or tendency on the part of the League either to act in contradiction to pacific principles or to adopt aims hostile to the U.S.S.R., she will oppose them by all means in her power.

(ii) Soviet Russia is assured that the assumption by Turkey of the obligations laid down in articles 16 and 17 of the Covenant will have no influence upon her policy towards the U.S.S.R. In case an accusation of initiating a war of aggression be brought against the latter Power, the provisions of article 3 (? of the Covenant; the allusion is here somewhat obscure) will first have to be taken into consideration; but in any case such an accusation, "which Turkey would consider to be unjust," would not place her under an obligation to take part in coercive measures against Soviet Russia.

4. As regards the general question of participation in coercive measures, the letter refers M. Astakhoff to the relevant passage in the Turkish reply to the League invitation (of which the text was enclosed in my despatch under reference) where mention is made of the special situation in which Turkey finds herself as a result of the military clauses of the Lausanne Treaty.

5. In conclusion I would point out that the Turkish assurances to Russia appear to be based on the following large assumptions: (*a*) That a pacific policy must always be inconsistent with an anti-Russian policy; and (*b*) that Russia is incapable of committing an act of aggression against another Power. In taking her place at Geneva, Turkey thus makes considerable mental reservations in favour of her northern neighbour and her joy at becoming a member of the League is tempered by a marked anxiety lest she lose any degree of Russia's friendship. Tevfik Rüstü's letters, in a word, are an attempt on his part to eat his cake and have it.

I have, &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK

Enclosure in No. 12.

Extract from the "République" of July 21, 1932.

LA TURQUIE À LA SOCIÉTÉ DES NATIONS.—UNE LETTRE DE TEVFIK RUCHDI BEY AU REPRÉSENTANT DE L'U.R.S.S.

EN exposant les raisons de l'entrée de la Turquie à la Société des Nations, le Ministre des Affaires étrangères, Tevfik Ruchdi Bey, a donné lecture à la G. A. N. d'une lettre qu'il avait lui-même adressée sur le même sujet au Chargé d'Affaires soviétique à Ankara, M. Achtakof. Le Ministre avait en outre déclaré son intention d'adresser une nouvelle lettre au même représentant, dans le sens de la communication que l'Allemagne a faite au Gouvernement soviétique, en date du 24 avril 1924, c'est-à-dire un peu avant l'adhésion de ce Gouvernement à la Société des Nations.

Voici le texte de cette deuxième lettre de Tevfik Ruchdi Bey:

"M. le Chargé d'Affaires,

"Je m'estime heureux de porter à votre connaissance ce qui suit, au nom de mon Gouvernement, comme suite à ma lettre en date du 10 juillet 1932.

"L'entrée de la Turquie dans la Société des Nations ne saurait nullement porter atteinte au développement des relations d'amitié qui se sont heureusement établies entre nos deux pays, dans une période où deux Etats luttaient pour leur indépendance respective, relations dont la vitalité a été démontrée à chacune des phases successives de leur développement.

"L'entrée de la Turquie dans la Société des Nations ne porte et ne saurait porter aucune atteinte aux engagements contractés par le traité signé à Paris en date du 17 décembre 1925, et complété par le protocole en date du 17 décembre 1929. Le Gouvernement de la République turque s'estime heureux d'exposer ici ouvertement une fois de plus les engagements dont il s'agit.

"Le Gouvernement turc est parfaitement convaincu que les engagements ci-dessus mentionnés, notamment les dispositions des articles 1er et 2 du pacte de 1925, ne pourront être préjudiciés par la participation de la Turquie à la Société des Nations. Le Gouvernement turc apprécie comme il convient la tâche de ce groupement tendant à faire résoudre les litiges internationaux par des moyens pacifiques, et il a résolu de collaborer par tous les moyens dont il dispose à sa réalisation.

"Cependant, au cas où contrairement à ses prévisions le Gouvernement turc constaterait, de la part de cette Société, à n'importe quel moment, des velléités ou tendances contraires à ce principe de paix, ou bien des visées contre l'Union des Républiques soviétiques, il s'y opposera de toute sa force et avec la plus grande énergie.

"2. Le respect par la Turquie des engagements contractés conformément aux articles 16 et 17 de la Société des Nations ne saurait altérer la politique à l'égard de l'Union des Républiques soviétiques. Ces articles traitent du cas de guerre déclaré par l'Union des Républiques soviétiques et nécessitant l'intervention de la Société.

"Dans le cas d'une accusation semblable, il y aurait lieu de prendre en considération les dispositions de l'article 3. En tout état de cause, une accusation de ce genre, portée par les autres Etats contre l'Union des Républiques soviétiques, accusation que la Turquie considérerait comme injuste, elle ne saurait obliger, aux termes de l'article 16, la Turquie à participer aux mesures de coercition contre les Soviets.

"Quant à la question de savoir quels sont les cas qui nécessiteront, en général, la participation ou la non-participation de la Turquie aux mesures de coercition dont il s'agit, ainsi que de la mesure de sa participation dans le cas affirmatif, la Turquie s'en rapporte, à ce sujet, aux clauses relatives à cette même question, formulées dans sa réponse, en date du 9 juillet, à la lettre d'invitation qu'elle a reçue de la Société des Nations.

"Je vous prie, &c.

"TEVFIK RUCHDI."

E 3805/2617/44 No. 13.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received July 28.)

(No. 258.)

Sir, *Constantinople, July 24, 1932.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 228 of the 5th instant, I have the honour to report that an Italian naval squadron consisting of the cruiser "Quarto," flying the flag of Admiral Moreno, and four destroyers, "Cairoli," "La Farina," "Bassini," and "Cosenz," after passing up the Bosphorus on the 8th instant *en route* for Varna, returned to Constantinople to make an official visit on the morning of the 16th, and left on the 23rd for Brindisi after a successful stay of one week.

2. The programme of this visit presented the usual features, the only novelty being a visit by the admiral on the 18th to Yalova in the Gulf of Ismid, where he paid his respects to the Gazi, who recently left Angora for this summer resort.

3. On the arrival of the squadron off the Palace of Dolmabahçe on the 16th the usual salutes were exchanged. The Captain of the Port, the Italian consul-general and vice-consul and the Italian naval attaché went aboard to call on the admiral in the morning. In the afternoon the admiral was taken by the naval attaché to call on the Italian Ambassador at Therapia, and in the evening he visited the Apostolic Delegate, who celebrated Mass on board the flagship on the following morning, a Sunday. The morning of the 17th was occupied by official calls and in the afternoon a garden party was given by the Italian Ambassador at the Palazzo Venezia (the Italian Embassy in Pera) in honour of the admiral and the officers of the squadron.

4. The 18th, prior to the admiral's departure for Yalova, was devoted to an official reception in the flagship of the leading Turkish officials and of other prominent personalities including the chief members of the local athletic clubs.

5. On the morning of the 19th the admiral led a detachment from the ships under his command through the streets of Pera to the Taxim Square, where he laid a wreath on the monument to the republic. In the afternoon he received the foreign naval attachés on board. In the evening he attended, with his officers, a ball given in their honour by the Italian Ambassador at the Therapia Embassy.

6. The 20th was a day of comparative inactivity, a detachment of officers merely returning the visit of the athletic clubs.

7. On the 21st the admiral was entertained by the local Fascio at the Casa d'Italia. Appropriate speeches were exchanged, followed by what the press described as a "vermouth d'honneur." Further vermouths awaited the admiral on the morning of the 22nd, at a reception which he attended of the "Societa Operaia," the local guild of working-class Italians.

8. That afternoon, in the circumstances reported in my despatch No. 253 of the 21st July, the destroyer "Cosenz," with the Italian Ambassador on board, left the squadron for Italy, the "Quarto" firing a salute on his departure. The remainder of the squadron sailed at dawn on the 23rd for Brindisi.

9. Since writing the above, Captain Macdonald, Straits Commission, has drawn my attention to the striking fact that there appears, during the whole visit of the Italian squadron, to have been no exchange whatever of the usual civilities and hospitality with the Turkish naval authorities, that no Turkish naval officers were present at any of the receptions I have recorded, and that the customary visit to the Turkish naval school at Halki was omitted from the programme altogether.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

P.S.—I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador at Rome.

G. R. C.

E 4066/449/44 No. 14.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received August 12.)

(No. 266.)

Sir, *Constantinople, August 1, 1932.*

WITH reference to your confidential despatch No. 270 of the 8th July, regarding alleged negotiations between the Turkish and Soviet Governments on the subject of the Dardanelles, I have the honour to inform you that the British delegate to the Straits Commission informs me that his Greek and Roumanian colleagues recently enquired of him whether he had any information about this matter, as they had had their attention drawn to notices in their own press.

2. Captain Macdonald took an opportunity of raising the question with Vassif Pasha, his Turkish colleague, who is usually frank with him, and who assured him on this occasion that there was no truth in the suggestion that the Soviet Government was pressing the Turkish Government to raise the question of the abolition of the international control of the straits, or, alternatively, to demand a revision of the Straits Convention. The Turkish delegate, moreover, gave Captain Macdonald to understand that Tevfik Rüstü Bey was in favour of the retention of the Straits Commission.

3. I am not at present able to add anything to the above observations. I shall bear the matter in mind, and I shall take whatever opportunity I may find of obtaining confirmation (or otherwise) of the impression left upon Captain Macdonald by Vassif Pasha.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 4599/3822/44 No. 15.

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon.—(Received September 9.)

(No. 299.)

Sir, *Constantinople, August 29, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report that, according to an announcement in the press, confirmed to me by one of the more literary of the Gazi's associates, a Turkish Linguistic Congress is shortly to be opened at Constantinople. I gather that the origin of this congress is as follows:

2. My despatch No. 249 of the 21st July last described a movement, inspired by the Gazi and enthusiastically followed by practically the whole of the Turkish *intelligentsia*, for the identification of the Turkish race with all the illustrious peoples of history, and the consequent attribution to primæval Turkish culture of all credit for the great civilisations of the past and present. It only remained to confirm these conclusions, if any confirmation were necessary, by a corresponding discovery in the linguistic sphere, and it may be said that, thanks to the universality of the presidential genius, this discovery has already virtually been made, and the congress is to set its seal upon the theory.

3. It may be remembered that as long ago as the spring of last year (see paragraph 5 of my despatch No. 147 of the 29th April, 1931), the Gazi's etymological researches had led him to the significant conclusion that Kent, Tashkent and Samarkand had a common origin in the Turkish tongue, and that the Uz tribe of the Black Sea coasts had given their name to the various English Ouses. (Incidentally, "uz" has the meaning of "kind" or "gentle" in Turkish, and, even apart from the Black Sea tribe, these epithets are strikingly characteristic of the principal English river of that name.) The Gazi's leisure hours have increased since then, for he tends to all appearance to leave current affairs more and more in the hands of his Ministers, and there is neither scope nor money for any more sensational reforms. His Excellency's philological studies have benefited from the lack of more spectacular occupation, and now for the first time the world at large, through the medium of the Turkish press, has been vouchsafed a glimpse of the accumulating treasure which is to be revealed in due course. Yunus Nadi Bey, the Gazi's faithful journalistic henchman, has just published in the "République" a series of articles, written after a private interview with the Great Guide, which gave clear promise of what is to come, and it will be no mere hypothesis, but an established fact, that will confront the literary world.

As to ancient Turkish literature is the mother of European civilisation were the ancient Turkish language is the mother of European tongues such as the Gazis and the discovery which Yunus Nadi has been forestalling with papers of reverberating pow No positive proofs are available for the present but scientific theories allowed to be has little use of proof and the Gazis historical and other objects are such as to enable one to acquire at the time when the Turk would care to question it publicly are very few in number Some of those are proof however Yunus Nadi Bey writes on his favourite subject of word derivations which have to see themselves upon the Gazi ring as study of rock carvings the chapter dealing of Turkish punished in Constantinople Stockholm Sulemanie Effendi Bey 1298 AH (1882 AD) Greek example quoted as to the long short vowel sign of the Europeans were quite with Engl sh dictionaries are best consult to keep the Latin vowel signs as to the Gazi pronunciation of vowels would seem as wrong as wrong prepare table to succeed use another record is Take the word Kalem for instance which says Kalem which means Kalamar Ottoman What is written out to come and to be brought to this Yunus Nadi asserts that Kalem is exactly the same as English Scholars as much should have to be used in for instance between Eastern Europeans who use signs similar ones to be used in the last sign to the vowel sound because the Turk is written Turkish Turkish Turkish as to be used of greater European usage to use the same sign to be used Turkish Turkish Turkish

the Director General of the Is Bankasi This rumour was soon confirmed by an announcement in the press on the 1st September, which added that the new Minister was to visit Constantinople for Angora with Ismet Pasha on the previous evening

2 Cetin Bey is one of the numerous Deputies for Smyrna and was chief cashier of the Brussels branch of the Deutsche Orient Bank before the War of Independence in the course of which he joined the Nationalist forces and is believed to have served with distinction In 1923 he was appointed Minister of National Economy a much less important post than he now fills in the Angora Government and during his absence acted for the Minister for Foreign Affairs at the latter's absence He soon afterwards became Minister of Exchange Reconstruction and Settlement of the Moslem refugees from outside Turkey a post which he retained until July 1924 when he was appointed Director General of the new established Is Bankasi on the recommendation of the Gazi himself

3 Cetin Bey's staff at present consists in Angora has formed from a reliable source that Ozalp assumed acting Ministry of Foreign Affairs on Mustafa Suref's resignation which he was said to have done pending the appointment of a new Minister to which post Ismet Pasha himself was expected to succeed Ozalp's appointment was interpreted by many observers as a set back to the Ismet group and as a victory for Celal Bey and his friends It was even suggested that Ismet Pasha had resigned in order to force the hand of the Government and that Celal Bey's appointment was a compromise between the Ismet and Celal Bey factions

4 Ismet Pasha returned to Angora from Istanbul on the 30th August and on the following day had a long interview with the Gazi at the conclusion of which the Prime Minister left for Ismid to meet Celal Bey who was returning from Istanbul by train Ismet Pasha's object in going to Ismid was apparently to persuade Celal Bey to accept the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and to return with him to Angora Celal Bey however refused to do so on the ground that he was not in good health and that he thought it would be better if he remained in Istanbul for the present Ismet Pasha returned to Angora on the 31st August and Celal Bey arrived there on the 1st September Ismet Pasha's attempt to persuade Celal Bey to accept the Foreign Office is thought to be due to the fact that he was anxious to have the latter's support in the event of the Gazi's death or retirement from active politics owing to ill health

5 The fact that Ismet Pasha travelled to Angora to Constantinople on the 16th September to confer for two hours with the President of the Republic before returning to Angora to attend the Cabinet meeting of the following day tends to emphasise its importance There is little doubt that certain reforms are needed to improve the economic situation but the financial situation is such that Government employees in the Eastern Anatolian districts have been unable to receive pay for two months and are beginning to suffer considerable hardship in consequence

I have &c
GEORGE R CLERK

E 5141 34 44 No 18

Sir G Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received October 7)

No 317

Sir *Constantinople, September 29, 1932*

I HAVE the honour to report that Cetin Bey has been appointed Minister of Economy in place of Celal Bey who has resigned Although one of the new Ministers was appointed on the 24th August (see my despatch No 311 of the 1st September) it was thought best to defer the despatch of my report on the changes until the Cabinet was complete

2 Mustafa Suref Bey the late Minister of National Economy was found strangled in his bed by his valet on the morning of the 27th instant and it is stated that he had been dead for eight hours when the body was discovered Suref Bey had been in poor health for some time past and had recently been suffering from insomnia and had taken to sleeping pills regularly It is thought that he must have taken an overdose of these pills by mistake during the night and that the valet on entering the room in the morning found him dead and not knowing what had happened put the body in bed and then gave the alarm Suref Bey's death was kept secret for some hours in the hope that the Government might be able to avert a scandal but the news soon leaked out and was known throughout the city by the afternoon

3 Suref Bey was a native of Trebizond and was one of the few Deputies who had been elected to the Grand National Assembly in 1920 who had not been members of the Committee of Union and Progress He was a man of considerable ability and was a strong character but he was not popular with his colleagues and was considered to be somewhat overbearing and conceited He was also said to be unscrupulous in money matters and to have made a large fortune during the War by speculation

4 The Cabinet met on the 28th August and Suref Bey was present at the meeting which lasted for about two hours and at which Ismet Pasha presided It is thought that Suref Bey's death may have been due to the fact that he was expecting to be dismissed at this meeting and that he was afraid to face the ordeal

5 The Cabinet met again on the 29th September when Ismet Pasha presided and Cetin Bey was present for the first time as Minister of National Economy

I have &c
GEORGE R CLERK

Minister of National Economy (see my despatch No 311 of the 1st September 1932), gave rise to rumours that other Ministers would also be changed It was hinted that Ismet Pasha might be replaced by Recep Bey secretary general of the Popular party that Refik Razim Bey would be appointed to Moscow and that Sukri Kaya Bey would resign In fact none of these events took place the Government found it necessary to publish a *démenti* and no further ministerial changes were impending or contemplated

I have &c
GEORGE R CLERK

E 5145 34 44 No 19

Sir G Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received October 7)

No 320

Sir *Constantinople, October 1, 1932*

IN my despatch No 311 of the 1st September and No 317 of the 29th September I briefly recorded the principal changes in the personnel of the Ministry of National Economy and Public Instruction which had occurred in consequence of the sudden death of Mustafa Suref Bey and the resignation of Celal Bey

2 Mustafa Suref Bey the late Minister of National Economy was found strangled in his bed by his valet on the morning of the 27th September and it is stated that he had been dead for eight hours when the body was discovered Suref Bey had been in poor health for some time past and had recently been suffering from insomnia and had taken to sleeping pills regularly It is thought that he must have taken an overdose of these pills by mistake during the night and that the valet on entering the room in the morning found him dead and not knowing what had happened put the body in bed and then gave the alarm Suref Bey was a native of Trebizond and was one of the few Deputies who had been elected to the Grand National Assembly in 1920 who had not been members of the Committee of Union and Progress He was a man of considerable ability and was a strong character but he was not popular with his colleagues and was considered to be somewhat overbearing and conceited He was also said to be unscrupulous in money matters and to have made a large fortune during the War by speculation

3 The Cabinet met on the 28th August and Suref Bey was present at the meeting which lasted for about two hours and at which Ismet Pasha presided It is thought that Suref Bey's death may have been due to the fact that he was expecting to be dismissed at this meeting and that he was afraid to face the ordeal

4 The Cabinet met again on the 29th September when Ismet Pasha presided and Cetin Bey was present for the first time as Minister of National Economy

devices for balancing imports and exports had merely added another 25 or 30 per cent to the cost of Turkey's imports. The exporter was obliged to obtain a "permit of exportation" before he could ship his goods, the idea being that in this way the amount of imports that could be allowed would be effectively controlled. But the practical result was very different. Importers simply went to fruit-growers and bought "permits of exportation" at a premium of 25 or 30 per cent, with which certificate they proceeded to import their goods. It is interesting that the first act of the new Minister of National Economy was to abolish the "permits of exportation".

5. The rest is silence. Ismet Pasha and Ahmed Mustafa went and that Great Bey was at this moment the most overworked man in the world. It is worth noting that this is the first time Turkish public opinion has forced its will upon the Turkish Government.

6. The change in the Ministry of Public Instruction is a loss to science and to progress in general. In my despatch No. 301 of the 4th September I have referred to Dr. Gazi's interest in, or rather to the passion with which he has taken up, the reform of the Turkish alphabet. It will be remembered that the Minister of Public Instruction has been entrusted with the task of carrying out this reform, and Dr. Gazi has been in the habit of visiting the Ministry almost every day in order to keep in touch with the progress of the work. Dr. Gazi is the only man in Turkey who has the power to overrule the opposition of the Old Turks to the reform, and it is only by the constant exercise of his personal influence that the Minister of Public Instruction has been able to overcome the difficulties which have arisen in the course of the work. Dr. Gazi's departure for Smyrna at this moment is therefore a serious blow to the reform, and it is to be feared that the Minister of Public Instruction will find it very difficult to maintain the momentum which has been imparted to the movement by Dr. Gazi's personal interest in it.

I have, &c.
(For the Ambassador)
HAROLD PUCKLE

E 5236 1133 25 — No. 20

Sir Percy Loraine to Sir John Simon (Received October 10)

(No. 389)

Sir, *Foreign Office, October 10, 1932.*

THE Turkish Ambassador having expressed a wish to see me, I called this afternoon. He stated that I would recollect that at his predecessor's last interview with me I had assured him that the Turkish Government's exchange and information would interest about the Hedjaz Nejd which might reach either Government. This proposal was recorded in my despatch No. 282 of the 1st May to Mr. Morgan.

2. Mr. Ihsan Bey was accordingly instructed to inform me that the Hedjaz Nejd of the Hedjaz Nejd which he visited August last had placed an order for 100 tubes of Turkish make (twenty-four inches) which were to be delivered to his father King Ibn Saud. Aziz Ibn Saud was two Turkish officers were about to proceed to the Hedjaz to inspect and report on the cannons during the time when the Ottoman Government ruled over the Hedjaz. I replied that I would enquire into the matter whether there was any question of the supply of ammunition. His Excellency replied in the negative.

3. Munir Bey then said that on the 9th May last a Turk called Mesoud, whose name appears on the list of 150 people whose entry into Turkey is forbidden, had made approaches to the Ghazi in one of the estuaries of Mesopotamia. Representations which had been made to the Turkish Consul in the local Canton in question had not met with success. His Excellency therefore proposed that he should repeat these representations, and that if possible Dr. Gazi should order the Canton in question to comply with the request. I replied that I would refer the matter to my Government, but that I doubted whether they would be willing to supply any departure from the decision which had been reached in the matter.

I have, &c.
JOHN SIMON

E 5494 3822/44 — No. 21

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received October 21)

(No. 334)

Sir, *Constantinople, October 14, 1932.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 301 of the 4th September last, I have the honour to report that the first Turkish Historical Congress was opened at Dolmabagh Palace on the 20th September, a date which is, I suppose, likely to be observed annually as a public holiday. The congress has been generally referred to as the Kamilay and Old Central Asiatic Society, which was originally revived to designate the annual general meetings of the recently suppressed Turk Ojaks against which, as I have already reported, a new lease of life under the highest auspices.

2. The President of the Republic was present not only at the inauguration, but also at the succeeding session, at the Ismet Pasha Girls' School which, doubtless, has been interesting to the Ghazi as recalling memories of the days when he had himself been a pupil of the Dolmabagh Palace.

3. The opening session in the great hall of the palace was attended by a large number of people including members of the Government, deputies of the Opposition, university professors, schoolteachers, students, and members of the general public. The Diplomatic Corps was not invited, but a few of its members were present as guests of the Government, including the Soviet Ambassador, and were accorded places of honour. Parties of peasants, ranging from remote districts of Turkey, had been specially brought to the metropolis at Government expense, and were accommodated in the reporters' gallery. Special arrangements were made for the publicity which was given to the proceedings, and the whole of the Turkish press was represented. The Ghazi's speech was broadcast throughout Turkey, and was relayed by the Turkish Broadcasting Station to Europe. Extracts from the speech were published in the Turkish press on the following day, and the full text appeared in the Ghazi's own newspaper, the Ulus, on the 21st October.

4. After a preliminary harangue by Sureyya Bey, deputy for Gelibolu, he was succeeded by Dr. Reshid Galib, who had been Minister of the Interior under the Ottoman Sultans and their partisans towards everything Turkish and Turkish-sounding, in particular Kazim Pasha, President of the Grand National Assembly, was elected by acclamation President of the congress and Dr. Reshid and Reshid Galib Beys were appointed Vice-Presidents. The last to speak was the new Minister of Public Instruction (see paragraph 6 of my despatch No. 326 of the 1st October). He emphatically assured the congress that the Government were prepared to take all necessary measures for the enforcement of its recommendations, after which Sureyya Bey delivered a three hours' speech on the subject of the alleged resemblances between Turkish words and foreign words of the same family.

5. On the second day, which revealed a considerable decline in public interest, the congress settled down to serious business and was treated by successive orators to a series of discourses in which each vied with the other in fulsome adulation of the ever-present Ghazi before treating with much less enthusiasm and knowledge of the relationship of Turkish to the Indo-European tongues on the one hand and the language of the Sumerians on the other. One eloquent speaker had discovered remarkable analogies between certain Turkish words and their French equivalents, which, what another speaker demonstrated that the Sumerians could not possibly have been the originators of their great discoveries, which must therefore have been the fruit of a still older culture than the Turkish civilisation of 6000 or 7000 years ago.

6. The star turn at the congress made its first appearance on this occasion in the person of a mysterious Armenian professor from Sofia named Agop Martayan. He arrived some days before the opening and was received with marked respect by various eminent personages connected with the congress, and even by the Ghazi himself. His contribution to the proceedings began with an address in which the investigators at the congress of Turkish undertook hitherto had not yielded the desired results. This was due to the fact that the historical enquiries which had been followed were based upon the principle of proto-Turkish words having been borrowed by foreign languages, whereas the true principle should be to investigate the influence which foreign languages had exercised upon Turkish. This method would prove the fact that Turkish was the parent of the Aryan family of languages. Moreover, all the peculiarities of Turkish were to be found in the language of the Sumerians and the name of the god Turgu which meant strength was Turk's own slightly different

[illegible] In view of all this it is scarcely surprising that Professor Martayan, who, as a fugitive Armenian could naturally never have returned to this country, should have had his passport vise in virtue of special instructions to the Turkish Legation in Sofia

7 According to the speakers who addressed the Kurultay on the 28th September archæology teaches that "Turkish" was the origin of world civilisation, and that Turkish is the mother tongue of all the Aryan languages The languages of the Hittites Sumerians, Medes Hittites Hellenes and Egyptians were derived from a single idiom, that originally spoken in Central Asia by primitive Turkish thousands of years before the Christian era the Turk dominated civilised countries The honour of having invented all systems of writing particularly the Phœnician Hittite Sumerian Cyprian and Cretan belongs to the glorious Turkish race The so-called Indo European languages also known as Indo Germanic and the Eastern Mediterranean languages should henceforth be called the Turko Sumerian suggestion which evoked tumultuous applause

8 The congress would have pursued the even tenor of its way to the very end with the exception of Ali Canip Bey formerly editor of the Yeni Mecmua suppressed by Law for a time one of the very few literary Turks with more than a smattering of culture who some seven years ago was condemned to perpetual exile for the part that so unjustly aroused for a time world interest in his paper by a mild protest against the bullying cowardice of the Opposition party organisations During the sixth session of the Kurultay Hussein Cahit threw a bombshell into the assembly by calmly stating that it would be a grave error to assert as has been frequently done that modern Turkish culture was entirely the creation of Ataturk and the present generation Ataturk's work was effective as regards foreign words which had become incorporated into Turkish Foreign words were ruthlessly rooted out and replaced by Turkish words or by words artificially coined from Turkish roots or invented by the Turkish Language Institute Words of historical necessity and in no way affected its personal character A linguist, Hussein Cahit is by no means wholly out of touch with the people and was therefore able to say that the reforms were not Ataturk's alone but were also those of the nation as a whole

9 The speech was carefully suppressed all mention of the fact that these criticisms were directed with loud applause by a considerable section of the audience, but it was smuggled publicly to take Hussein Cahit's part His plea for gradual evolution and simplification of the language was hurled at once with derision Words invented by "historists" and was immediately labelled with ridicule Sneaker after speaker then rose to combat him, summoning to their help Hannibal Frederic the Great Peter the Great and Catherine II to their assistance The Gazi is understood to have been seriously displeased and perturbed by the officious speech and studiously by the applause with which it was received His Excellency is believed to have evident the resentment of the Old Guard Opposition leaders The inevitable consequence of this will be that his latest reform which is nothing less than the complete purification of Turkish from all foreign words Arabic and Persian, will be carried out with even greater speed and ruthlessness than would otherwise have been the case

10 At the fourth and last meeting of the congress held on the 6th October the Association for the study of the Turkish Language founded under the presidency of the Gazi a short time previously was endowed with statutes consisting of fifteen articles the first of which lays down that the "Kurultay of the Turkish Language" shall meet every two years on the date and at the place approved by the Central Council The members of this body were then chosen Sandi Ritat Bey Deputy for Canakkale and Reşid Esref Bey Deputy for Afyon Karahisar being unanimously elected president and secretary general respectively

11 Since the closing of the congress the Minister of Education has been invited to proceed forthwith to the Stamboul University to the Local headquarters of the Halk Evleri or Popular party substitute for the too independent Turk Ocaklari institution, and to other educational institutions of the former capital in order to impress upon them the importance of the linguistic reform and incidentally

assuring the university professors that the rumours regarding their impending wholesale dismissal were unfounded They must, however, be prepared to play a prominent part in the work of reform and take their proper share in the labour of creating a Turkish language and culture worthy of the glories of the race From 12,000 to 15,000 schoolmasters, says the press, are being commissioned by the Minister to search out from the popular speech of the respective districts from 70,000 to 90,000 "new" words so that the Turkish language may be effectively as it already is potentially the richest in the world A period of six months has been mentioned as all that is required for the fulfilment of this task although a Turkish Deputy closely connected with the movement has been assuring interested foreigners that not only he but his children, and only his children's children will witness the completed achievement Of this I am not so sure for the Gazi is not one to work for posterity alone and I am astonished to see his reforms in full operation within a shorter time than even his most sanguine followers could anticipate

I have, &c.
GEORGE R CLERK

E 5774 5774 89 No 22

Sir G Clerk to Sir John Simon Received November 8

No 347

Pera October 29 1932

I HAVE the honour to report that on the 27th instant the Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs and the French Ambassador signed a protocol regarding the properties of Syria and a provisional agreement regarding the section of the Royal Railway which lies within the confines of Syria The details of these agreements have been previously communicated by the French Embassy and will be known to your Lordship as soon as I receive them

2 Negotiations leading up to the signature have been spread over a very long period and were carried on with frequent outbursts of the press of bellicose nature of which I have recorded a outstanding instance in my despatch No 201 of the 9th January 1932 dealing with periods of intense feeling on the part of influential Turks have frequently suspended or slowed up progress but the fact that a conclusion has now been reached which is chiefly attributable in great measure to the advent to power of M Herriot but in fact is mostly due to the patience and tact of Count de Chambrun the French Ambassador shows that Turco French relations are for the moment cordial I am not clear whether this cordiality will prevent Turkish statesmen from expressing the hope that Syria will soon be independent and from encouraging Syrian Nationalist aspirations But it will be helpful in a larger sphere and may be useful in fostering the conclusion of an agreement about the Ottoman public debt In this connexion Tevfik Rustu Bey I conversation with a member of my staff, said that no differences now existed between France and Turkey except the question of the debt and that he hoped to hear that it had been finally settled before or at least during next year which seems to indicate that negotiations may yet continue for some time

3 I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador in Paris

I have, &c
GEORGE R CLERK

E 5777 33 34 No 23

Sir G Clerk to Sir John Simon Received November 8

(No 349)

No

Pera October 29 1932

I HAVE the honour to report that the Persian Minister for Foreign Affairs, Mirza Mohamed Ali Khan Furoughi arrived in Constantinople by Simplon Orient Express on the 26th October and left the same day for Angora where he will stay until the 2nd November During his stay he will be the guest of the

Turkish Government and will assist at the ceremonies given in connexion with the anniversary of the proclamation of the Turkish Republic.

2. Ferough Khan is accompanied by Abbas Gulli Khan Garib Musa Khan Isfendyar Hasan Khan Kavar ex Under Secretary of State at the Persian Ministry of Economy Gulam Riza Khan head of the Eastern Department of the Persian Ministry for Foreign Affairs and Colonels Mahsin Khan and Mehmet Khan Daba.

3. At Constantinople Ferough Khan informed the representative of the "Hakimyeti Mutlaye" that the purpose of his visit to Turkey was to return the visit paid to Tehran in January of this year by Tevfik Rustu Bey. He added that all questions of major importance between the two countries had been settled. Conversations would take place about economic matters and about a projected commercial treaty. He also hoped to renew a Treaty of Neutrality Non-Aggression and Collaboration. Fahr Rifki Bey is reported in the "Hakimyeti Mutlaye" welcoming Ferough Khan and attributes the improvement in the relations between Turkey and Persia to the stabilisation of new regimes in each country. Republican Turkey adopted still more pronounced friendship with neighbouring States and Ferough Khan who as Assistant Foreign Minister had played his part in convincing Persia of the sincerity of Turkey's policy had played his part in expunging Turkey's name from his statement linking the countries together.

4. A very interesting article on Persian neutrality is recalled by Mr. Hall's despatch No. 1 of the 12th January. In the course of an interview given to the Republique Ferough Khan stated that Persian Ambassadors were present at the inter-Persian Saadabad Pact in respect of the quarrels between the Iraq authorities and Kurdish tribes and also at the Sofia Conference which had to conduct to the abolition of the capitulations exercised by Sultans and Shahs which all foreign interests seriously had profited by these quarrels and such abolition naturally strengthened the ties of friendship existing between the two countries. Persia and Turkey were determined that Iraq would be guaranteed against every external assault.

5. Mustafa Kemal gave a banquet in honour of Ferough Khan on the 27th October and speeches were delivered by Ismet Pasha and Tevfik Rustu Bey congratulating Turkey and Persia on the happy relations existing between the two countries and on the benefits of the entente which brought to a close the Treaty of Neutrality and Conciliation of the 22nd April 1926 which provided for a Persian-Turkish-Iraq agreement and amicable settlement of frontier disputes.

6. I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Minister at Tehran.

I have &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 6029 33 34 No. 24

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

(No. 452)

Sir, *Angora, October 31, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report that in the course of an interview with the Minister for Foreign Affairs this afternoon his Excellency gave me a brief account of the discussions which he had intended to hold with Ferough Khan as to the festivities which his Highness's visit is at present being celebrated. I have come to understand, I gather both from the Minister for Foreign Affairs and from Ferough Khan himself, that the latter is to be accorded a welcome as cordial as it is possible to provide, and that no pains will be spared to make his visit a success.

2. The Treaty of Friendship signed at Teheran on the 22nd April 1926 was renewed through the efforts of Tevfik Rustu Bey, a fresh Treaty recording and perpetuating the existing relations of friendship between the two countries being concluded. The Treaty of Neutrality was also renewed for a period of three years. Both these Treaties were signed at the conclusion of negotiations with Reza Shah Pahlavi, who was then on a visit to Turkey, and Tevfik Rustu Bey is reported to have said that he thought that Mr. Hall-Patch's negotiations with the Persian Government might also result in the conclusion of a Treaty of Friendship.

3. Tevfik Rustu Bey further said that an extradition treaty might possibly also be negotiated.

4. I have dealt in another despatch with the most important question to be discussed by Tevfik Rustu Bey and Ferough Khan, namely the relations between Turkey, Persia and Iraq.

5. I have sent a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Minister at Tehran.

I have &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 6030 6030 65 No. 25

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

No. 453

Sir, *Angora, October 31, 1932.*

At the conference held on the 26th September last by Mr. Hall of the Central Department, a memorandum of a letter from Mr. Rendel to me of the 12th August was read in which it was stated that the Turkish Foreign Minister might be expected to take an opportunity to investigate the possibility of some sort of Security Pact, the precise nature of which Nuri Pasha was to explain.

2. In the course of Tevfik Rustu Bey's remarks pointed out in another despatch which he was speaking to me this afternoon on the discussions which he intended to hold with Ferough Khan, one of the points which was possible for discussion was a Pact of non-aggression between the three Middle States of Turkey, Persia and Iraq, to which it was hoped Great Britain would adhere. His Excellency seemed to expect that His Majesty's Government would welcome such a Pact, but I was careful not to commit the Embassy to any approval of the idea. I pointed out that His Majesty's Government would be willing to consider the matter, but that it was unlikely that they would be prepared to enter into any engagement which would appear to be directed against any particular Power. If, however, the Turkish Government were to propose a new treaty to be concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations, I thought that His Majesty's Government would be prepared to consider the matter sympathetically.

3. His Excellency promised to enlighten me further after his talks with Ferough Khan.

4. I have sent a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Minister at Tehran.

I have &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 6031 34 44 No. 26

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

(No. 454)

Sir, *Angora, November 2, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report that the seventh session of the opening of the Grand National Assembly was carried out on the 1st November. I enclose a copy of a French translation of a speech delivered on this occasion by the President of the Republic.

2. The speech is even more explicit and outspoken than previous speeches of the same kind in that it makes slighting reference to foreign criticisms of the Turkish Government's failure to obtain admission to the League of Nations. It contains too the announcement that Turkey will not enter into the League of Nations.

3. The speech was heard with great interest but no enthusiasm was observed. Neither the Deputies nor the public in the gallery paid any attention to the passage relating to the

intention of the Government to continue its programme of railway construction and to the necessity of international collaboration to secure peace and overcome the economic crisis. There was also applause towards the close of the speech when the Gazi enjoined on the State organisations the duty of working to restore the Turkish language to its primitive purity and beauty. I am told that this injunction is already being observed in the written language and foresee that the purification of Turkish will rank among the Gazi's reforms with the abolition of the fez and the veil, the use of the vernacular in the mosques and the substitution of Latin for Arabic characters.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 6033/34/44 — No. 27

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

(No. 455.)

Sir, *Angora, November 2, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report the usual congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps to the Gazi on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

2. The proceedings at Angora were opened by a salute of guns at 10 a.m. when the President of the Republic received the members of the Diplomatic Corps, the troops and boy scouts marching past the Presidential tribune. A gala entertainment was given in the evening at the Ankara Palas Hotel, to which I had the honour to be invited, but which I was unable to attend owing to indisposition.

3. The opportunity was taken by the Deputy for the Republic of Bolchemir to hold a meeting in order to protest against the press campaign which has been launched in the United States of America against Turkey on account of the Armenian question. He declared that the Armenians had been treated with the greatest consideration by the Turkish Government, and that the Armenian question was a matter which concerned Turkey alone. He was followed by a Deputy for Erzerum, who declared that the Armenians had been treated with the greatest consideration by the Turkish Government, and that the Armenian question was a matter which concerned Turkey alone.

4. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

5. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

6. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

E 6033/34/44 — No. 28

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

(No. 456.)

Sir, *Angora, November 2, 1932.*

IN continuation of my immediately preceding despatch I have the honour to report that the usual dinner to the Corps Diplomatique and the usual Ball given by the Popular party took place at the Ankara Palas Hotel on the 29th October.

2. The Gazi arrived at about ten o'clock and was received with honours. He discussed the events of the day with the two senior Turkish generals and Mr. Celal Bayar, and then sat down to dinner at a round table with seven guests. The President was already fully primed, but he went down to dinner and the accident of precedence put me next but one to him. He evidently observed with distress that the process was being continued. As I rose from these occasions the President, seeing me across the table, beckoned me to his side and we had a few words of more or less general conversation with those immediately round us. The Gazi began to talk to me from the moment he sat down, eating his food with extraordinary rapidity and frequently stopping to light a cigarette. He was in a very good humour and was evidently pleased with the success of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

3. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

4. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

5. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

6. The Gazi then proceeded to the Grand National Assembly Buildings, where he received the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps. The Gazi, in reply to the speech of the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities. He said that he was very pleased to receive the congratulations of the Diplomatic Corps on the occasion of the Republic Day festivities.

I have, &c.
GEORGE R. CLERK.

5 After a most embarrassing time for all and not least for the Turks present Kazim Pasha President of the Grand National Assembly had through acute embarrassment to restrain his chief The Gazi led his guests to the ball room and on passing the Egyptian Minister who was wearing his fez tapped it and said

> Tell your King that I Mustafa Kemal have instructed you not to wear your fez for it is right

He then crossed over to where the Minister gentlemen usually lined up headed by his colleagues and Lady Clerk secretaries and the next Ambassador was formally presented to the President after a line of invited guests awaiting the emergence of the two from the dining tent had lounged to counter darkness The Egyptian Minister with a wry face once more kept his distance Nuri Bey Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs gazing straight ahead right to the edge of the water's edge apparently lost in thought Jevad Rushdi Bey whose post it was admitted to present apologies to the Minister for the absence of King Faud is shown the incident on each occasion he passed

6 Issaq Pasha formerly the Gazi's wily progressive pet aide-de-camp here during the Lausanne days The Latvian Military Attaché was noted with tremendous vigour and interest dissecting Dr Goebbel's attitude towards the Jews observed Duff Cooper to his escort Lady Diana Cooper looking wistfully at the pool at the first secretary's good looking wife whilst the Gazi showed himself more than usually attentive to his wife Lady Clerk who was gloved to the cheek Baroness Bengel Douglas was saved by Mr Murray intervention of the French Ambassador from a serious attempt to stereo the French lower jaw at the shortest was tapped in stiff hooks and seated for his first official Turkish kiss by the President was publicly welcomed that his confidence in our ambassadorial discernment was unabating to fighting men With the Latvian Attaché withdrew Issaq Pasha the Gazi bowed adieu to the Ambassador and Lady Clerk and the Ambassador and his wife extended to the Minister and Mrs Shuckburgh a most cordial invitation to visit Moscow Dr Kemal Pasha Doctor to the Gazi was entertained at the opportunity of saying that I was not at all surprised to see Massolini The Italian Ambassador and guests left Dr Goebbel with the family established on the lawn Mrs Shuckburgh was shaken out of her reserve but came to herself twice before she could resume her pose He then consoled himself by the knowledge that Dr Goebbel was not dressed Lavec for some standard Dr Goebbel strung greatness

7 Supported by Marshal Fevzi Pasha and the Soviet Ambassador Dr Gazi at 9 pm reached the stage where the disintegration set in Artability first observed again about 4 am when at the middle aged Turkish ladies who never gaily but settled down to the night's entertainment were aided by the Gazi to their personal protection of foreign visitors to perform these same duties themselves with strange and unknown foreigners

8 It may seem unnecessary and indeed irritating to repeat at such length an ordinary despatch the words and acts of one who was obviously at the time not completely master of himself nor altogether mindful of his great and responsible position My reason for so doing will be that every word that the Gazi utters in such circumstances is not as might be thought a mere drunken expression of what he feels On the contrary every word is his sentiment to be taken in his own mind and will be his own opportunity to prove or to disprove before all the foreign representatives Though hitherto he has always worked out all the answers before letting himself go As a rough guide to the knowledge of the Gazi's extreme the Soviet Ambassador's suggestion that Dr Goebbel should be invited to visit Russia in the autumn of 1933 to speak to the Gazi's friends in the Soviet Union was received with acclamation by the Gazi and his friends and was welcomed by Dr Goebbel as a great honour and privilege but he said that he must first obtain the consent of his Führer and Chancellor Hitler This speech Dr Goebbel made in English and the Gazi's reply was translated by Dr Kemal Pasha Doctor to the Gazi Dr Goebbel's speech

The Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs and the Persian Ambassador at Angora have also exchanged the instruments of ratification of the two treaties signed on the 8th of July last, with the declaration of the Turkish Government that the Straits remain open to vessels of war of non-Black Sea Powers in accordance with the principles of existing treaties.

I have sent copies of this despatch to His Majesty's Ministers at Tehran and Angora.

I have &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK

E 6035/6030/65 No. 30

Sir G. Clerk to Sir J. Simon. (Received November 9, 1932)

No. 8

Sir, *Angora, November 9, 1932*

With reference to your despatch No. [illegible] of the 1st October last I have the honour to report that the Minister for Foreign Affairs, according to the arrangement mentioned in my despatch No. [illegible] of the 2nd instant, gave a dinner-party on the evening of the 3rd instant in honour of the Persian Ambassador, who was leaving Angora on the following day. His Majesty's Ambassador and I were among the guests. [illegible]

2. When the Minister for Foreign Affairs proposed the health of the Persian Ambassador he referred to the recent visit of the Persian Foreign Minister to Angora, and to the conclusion of the Treaty of Non-Aggression between Persia and Turkey. He said that the Persian Minister had come to Angora to sign the treaty, and that he had also taken the opportunity to discuss with the Turkish Government the question of the Persian Gulf. He had found that the Turkish Government were in complete agreement with the Persian Government on this question, and that the Turkish Government would be prepared to support Persia in the event of any attempt being made to alter the present state of affairs in the Persian Gulf. His Majesty's Ambassador and I had an interview with the Minister for Foreign Affairs on the following morning.

3. When the Persian Ambassador proposed my health he referred to the fact that [illegible] had been a member of the Council of the League of Nations when Persia had been admitted to membership of the League, and that I had been the first British Minister to Persia after the conclusion of the Anglo-Persian Agreement of 1919. He said that he hoped that I should be able to do something to improve the relations between Great Britain and Persia, which had been somewhat strained of late. He was sure that the question of the Persian Gulf could be discussed between Great Britain and Persia in a friendly spirit, and that a satisfactory solution could be found. He was glad to hear that the question of the Persian Gulf had been discussed with the Turkish Government, and that the latter had given their support to Persia in the event of any attempt being made to alter the present state of affairs in the Persian Gulf. [illegible]

I have &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK

Extract from No. [illegible]

Angora, [illegible]

DR. TEVFIK RUSTU: [illegible] paragraph of Great Britain [illegible] portion of [illegible] aggression [illegible] parts to [illegible] Pact [illegible] Its [illegible] that the general principles of the League will be given due regard. [illegible] Turkish support [illegible] that she wished to see the

[illegible] had done in the West [illegible] assure peace [illegible] agreements [illegible] Treaty [illegible] Between [illegible] be [illegible] Pact [illegible] groups [illegible] Turkey [illegible] Pact [illegible] Turkey [illegible] Pact [illegible] Turkey [illegible] Pact

participate or face the dilemma of whether to participate or not and also that of having to decide on which side to participate

Tevfik Rustu's interest in Persia's being a party to the proposed pact was that if Persia were a party, and if Russia and Afghanistan were likewise parties, Persia also would become a bone of contention between the two Great Powers and would not have her neutrality violated or be in a similar dilemma to that of Turkey as described above. Moreover as no troops of the two Great Powers would be moving near the Irako-Persian frontier Turkey would be more completely certain of avoiding trouble with either belligerent as there would be no possibility of a 'Pretext' in the Irako-Persian frontier which might oblige Turkey to decide whether to take action or no.

But while Turkey could see no reverse by the proposed pact to trouble or anxiety from necessities of choice in case of a Anglo-Russian war she does not like to think of the possibilities of a Russo-Roumanian war.

A final saving of Tevfik Rustu's was that his pact is ultimately completed would be most welcomed for Great Britain in India.

JAMES MORGAN

Angora November 9, 1932

E 6036 5774 89 No. 41

Sir H. Knatchbull-Hugessen to Rt. Hon. Neville Chamberlain (received November 18)

No. 865

Embassy, Angora, November 6, 1932

WITH reference to my despatch No. 347 of the 29th October last I have the honour to report that the French delegate has since good enough to give me a copy of the note to which he referred in that despatch. It has just been sent to the Ministry for Foreign Affairs and is couched in the following terms:

2. The Government of the Republic has not failed to take note of the assurances which the Turkish Government have given it that the question of the restoration to their proprietors in this country of property which was sequestrated during the war will be settled in accordance with the principles of international law. It has also taken note of the declaration that the Turkish courts are competent to deal with disputes relating to property which was sequestrated during the war and that the Mixed Arbitral Tribunals established by the Treaty of Lausanne will not be called upon to intervene in such disputes.

3. As regards the railway the agreement recorded in the Protocol of the 30th May, 1930, provides that the existing French management of the railway from Ankara to Kayseri shall be liquidated within twelve months during which time no effort will be made to substitute a new form of exploitation whereby the present system of management would cease to have over so much of the line as does not lie entirely in Turkish territory, with the exception of the section from Adana to Fevzi Pasha which is to be handed over to the Turkish authorities within six months of the date of the ratification of the Turkish State railways system. I propose to show that this provision is not applicable to the present case, but that if it is it must be interpreted in some other sense than that which would be sought by the Turkish Government.

4. I am not in a position to express any opinion as to which of these two interpretations is in accordance with the spirit of the Protocol, although I should imagine that in any case the ground that circumstances have changed since the Protocol was signed and possibly put forward by the Turkish Government as a reason for not applying the Protocol at all, is not likely to be considered valid. But I do feel myself bound to point out that the impression that the Turks and the French together hold the majority of the shares and that therefore the two Governments could if need be call together the long slumbering general meeting and obtain legal sanction for their proposals.

5. I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador in Paris.

I have &c.
(For the Ambassador)
JAMES MORGAN

E 6038 1541 44 No. 52

Sir H. Knatchbull-Hugessen to Rt. Hon. Neville Chamberlain (received December 15, 10.30 a.m.)

No. 867

Angora, December 12, 1932

I HAVE the honour to report that the Grand National Assembly at its sitting of the 7th November passed without discussion a motion according to which the Government, after considering the reports of the Foreign Affairs Committee, decided to refer the Turkish application for admission to the League of Nations to the Assembly of the League which is to meet in January next. In the course of the debate which preceded the vote, only one deputy, Ismet Bey of Kozan, spoke against the motion. He argued that the League of Nations was a body which had proved itself incapable of preventing war and that Turkey would do better to remain outside it. He was supported by a few deputies who thought that Turkey should not ask for admission to the League unless she could be sure of obtaining a permanent seat on the Council. Ismet Bey's speech was received with cries of "Bravo" and "Long live Ismet" from the public galleries, but the Assembly as a whole showed no sympathy with his views.

2. Ismet Bey's objections to Turkey's entry into the League of Nations were dealt with at length by Ali Fuad Bey, the Minister for Foreign Affairs, in a speech which he began by reviewing Turkey's foreign policy since the Treaty of Lausanne. He pointed out that the principles which had guided Turkey in her relations with her neighbours and with the other nations of Europe had been happily demonstrated in the past few days by the settlement of some of the questions which had been outstanding between Turkey and Bulgaria, Greece and Roumania, and by the visit to Angora of the Italian Minister for Foreign Affairs. He then went on to say that the Turkish Government had always been in favour of the principle of collective security and had therefore welcomed the creation of the League of Nations. They had, however, refrained from joining the League because they had not been convinced that it was capable of preventing war. Recent events had, unfortunately, proved that their misgivings were justified. The League had failed to prevent the Italo-Abyssinian war and the Japanese occupation of Manchuria. Moreover, the Treaty of Lausanne had been signed by the Turkish Government in the belief that the League would be able to guarantee the demilitarisation of the Straits, but the League had failed to prevent the remilitarisation of the Straits by Turkey. Ali Fuad Bey then went on to say that the Turkish Government had always been anxious to maintain friendly relations with the League and with all other nations in the interests of peace.

3. Ismet Bey then referred to the new Franco-Turkish agreements and to the friendly feelings displayed towards Turkey by the French Government and people. He said that the Turkish Government and people reciprocated these feelings and were grateful for them. The Government's policy was then unanimously approved by the Assembly.

4. The press, while unable to conceal their disappointment at the decision of the Assembly, have refrained from any unfriendly criticism of France and have expressed sympathy with the French attitude. Some papers, however, have suggested that the recent visit of Turkish delegates to Geneva for conferences in regard to Syrian affairs, as reported in my despatch No. 347 of the 29th October last, and partly to the hope of favours from the League, Turkey's friendship would last much longer if it were reflected by a seat on the Council. The press, however, have on the whole expressed the opinion that this is entirely a matter for those in power in Turkey to determine.

5. I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador in Paris.

I have &c.
(For the Ambassador)
JAMES MORGAN

E 6039 5409 44 No. 43

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

(No. 368)

Sir, *Angora, November 12, 1932.*

I HAVE the honour to report that the Turkish press on the 8th November announced that an American specialist had been engaged to reorganise the Turkish Customs and would shortly arrive at Constantinople.

2. I have learnt from the United States Embassy that a Mr. Verfeld the expert in question has not arrived at Angora, and has taken up his duties

I have, &c.
(For the Ambassador)
JAMES MORGAN

E 6041 33 34 No. 44

[Subject not identified] (Received November 18)

(No. 70)

Sir, *Angora, November 12, 1932.*

WITH reference to Mr. Hoare's despatch No. 305 of the 19th August last, I have the honour to report that the Turco-Persian Frontier Agreement of the 23rd December, 1932, was ratified at Angora on the 10th November, and that the ratifications were exchanged at Teheran on the 27th November. A copy of the Turkish text of the Agreement, which was signed at Teheran on the 23rd December, 1932, is enclosed in my despatch No. 302 of to-day's date.

2. As the Agreement does not call for any comment, I have not thought it necessary to trouble your Lordship with a translation of it. Information received from the Turkish Foreign Office is to the effect that the Agreement has been duly ratified by His Majesty the King, and that the instruments of ratification will be exchanged at Teheran on the 27th January next. A copy of Mr. Hoare's despatch No. 305 of the 19th August was forwarded to the Foreign Office with the Embassy's despatch No. 1,000 of the 25th August.

I have, &c.
His Majesty's Minister, &c.
(For the Ambassador)
JAMES MORGAN

E 6043 5774 89 No. 45

Sir G. Clerk to Sir John Simon (Received November 18)

No. 372)

Sir, *Angora, November 12, 1932.*

WITH reference to my despatch No. 304 of the 19th August, I have the honour to enclose herewith, for the information of your Lordship, a copy of the Turco-Persian Agreement regulating the navigation of the portion of the Bagdad Railway between Bozanti and Nissibin which lies in Turkish territory. A copy of the agreement with which it was deleted to the signature on the 23rd December last at Teheran between the Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs

2. A copy of this despatch has been sent to His Majesty's Ambassador at Paris.

I have, &c.
(For the Ambassador)
JAMES MORGAN

Enclosure No. 45

Franco-Turkish Agreement respecting the Bagdad Railway between Bozanti and Nissibin

PROCÈS-VERBAL de signature de la déclaration commune en date du 27 octobre 1932 relative aux chemins de fer.

Sans préjudice des dispositions du procès-verbal de la déclaration commune des signatures sont convenu que le délai d'un an maximum s'applique aux dispositions des paragraphes *b*, *d* et *e* de cette déclaration de un an de la date de la mise en vigueur de cette déclaration commune entre les hautes parties contractantes pour la durée de sa liberté d'action.

Dr. TEVFIK RÜSTÜ
CHARLES DE CHAMBRUN

Ankara, 27 octobre 1932.

Déclaration commune

Les soussignés, dûment autorisés par leurs gouvernements respectifs, ont procédé à l'échange des ratifications de la convention turco-syrienne et turco-irakienne, signée à Ankara le 30 mai 1930, dans l'esprit de la déclaration annexée à l'acte final de la conférence d'Angora du 20 octobre 1921, les conditions de traversée du territoire turc du chemin de fer de Bagdad, situé entre Alexandrette et la frontière irakienne, le Nord-Est de Nissibin, pour assurer le parfait fonctionnement de ce réseau et les dispositions qui en découlent pour les deux parties contractantes.

Ils ont constaté que la Société des chemins de fer orientaux, propriétaire de la ligne de Bagdad, a été dissoute par décret du Conseil des ministres irakien du 30 juin 1931, et que la Société nouvelle des chemins de fer de Bagdad, constituée en vertu de la loi irakienne du même jour, a été substituée à la première dans tous ses droits et obligations.

Ils ont également constaté que la Société nouvelle des chemins de fer de Bagdad, ainsi que les gouvernements irakien et syrien, ont donné leur adhésion à la déclaration commune des signataires du protocole du 30 mai 1930, relative aux intérêts du trafic entre l'Irak et la Syrie.

Pour assurer la parfaite exécution de toutes les dispositions contenues dans ces différents actes, les hautes parties contractantes sont convenues :

a) De procéder à la liquidation de l'exploitation actuelle dans un délai maximum d'un an ;

b) D'assurer de concert, en attendant l'établissement d'un régime définitif, le bon fonctionnement normal du réseau et la continuité du trafic sur l'ensemble de ce réseau ;

c) De rechercher, en cas de désaccord au sujet de l'application ou de l'interprétation de tout point relatif à l'exploitation provisoire, par l'entremise de leurs agents, toutes mesures conformément aux dispositions du protocole d'entente, soit à l'application de ce protocole, se heurtant à des difficultés insurmontables par tout autre mode de gestion directe ou indirecte, reposant sur la poursuite

Jusqu'à l'expiration du délai d'un an nécessaire à la liquidation de l'exploitation actuelle et à l'établissement d'un nouveau régime provisoire, l'état de choses présent ne sera pas modifié. Toutefois, le secteur Alexandrette-Fevzi Pacha sera remis au Gouvernement turc six mois après la signature du présent accord.

Dr. T. RÜSTÜ
CHARLES DE CHAMBRUN

Ankara, 27 octobre 1932.

Protocole d'Ankara du 27 octobre 1932 relatif au nouveau Règlement de la Liquidation de l'Exploitation actuelle

ARTICLE 1

Le Gouvernement de l'Assistance exportatrice [illegible] Section Bois de Allumettes Pâtes

L'exploitation des essences Pavois Tapras Okoumé Dexti Padouk Movingui Ekkes et l'Usine de Mission seront [illegible] comme [illegible] société [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[E 6679/6523/44] No. 37.

Mr. Morgan to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 15.)

(No. 399.)

Angora, December 10, 1932.

Sir,

IN the course of his despatch No. 356 of the 2nd November, regarding the celebration of the anniversary of the proclamation of the Turkish Republic, Sir George Clerk described the incident of the removal of the Egyptian Minister's tarboosh at the close of the official banquet to heads of missions, on the direct and peremptory insistence of the President of the Republic.

2. Silence closed down on the event immediately after its occurrence, broken occasionally, at some social function, by a stray remark from one Government official or another, showing in the first few hours sympathy with the Egyptian Minister, and then, by a sudden change of opinion—perhaps in parasitic and terrified deference to the Gazi who must, on realising his indiscretion, have determined to brazen it out—suggesting that the blame for the incident lay with the Egyptian Minister, who had courted a snub by wearing a fez in a country where it was regarded as anathema. The silence has in the past few days, given place to the clamour of the whole Turkish press, arising out of the communication to the Turkish Government of an Egyptian note calling for apologies and for guarantees against future repetition. The press flatly denies that any incident took place, and accuses the *Daily Herald* and Reuter's Agency of lying, or, at least, of distorting an innocent episode, with the object of creating bad blood between Turkey and Egypt. It rebukes the Egyptian press and gutter politicians for continuing to try to make capital out of an incident now shown to have never occurred, and hints that if Egypt does not accept Turkey's explanations harmful results may follow for a country notorious as a drug smuggler, for harbouring Turkish reactionaries, and for striking at Turkish trade by its tariffs.

3. The version which the Turkish press now wishes to see adopted is that it is customary for the Gazi to intimate to the heads of missions, at the end of the official banquet on the 29th October, that they may, for their greater comfort, change from uniform to evening dress before appearing at the ball, which closes the national holiday. It happened this year that, on leaving the table, the Gazi saw the Egyptian Minister for whom he has a great regard, and realising that the heat of the room made the wearing of his fez a burden to him kindly gave him permission to remove it. None of those present were aware that an "incident" had taken place until the 12th November, when the *Daily Herald*—an Opposition newspaper—printed the totally false information that the Gazi had ordered Hamza Bey to remove his fez, that the offended Minister had at once withdrawn, and that the Gazi had subsequently conveyed to him his apologies. Reuter's Agency therefore disseminated this report in Egypt, and some Egyptians, who ought to have known better than to give credence to a belated press report from London, were led to believe that Egypt's honour was at stake and began a campaign against Turkey. The object of the whole fabrication was to create a coolness between Turkey and Egypt, and had an exact counterpart in the Ems telegram which caused the Franco-German War in 1870. A leading article of Yunus Nadi in the *République* of the 8th December, of which I enclose a copy, is typical of all the other press articles.(1)

4. A semi-official statement in the same number of the *Republique* mentions the violence of the Egyptian press, due to certain lying reports of an incident which never occurred, and refers to an Egyptian note to the Turkish Government demanding apologies and guarantees for future non-recurrence. It adds that a reply has been drafted, and is to the effect that the fact that the Egyptian Minister was told that he could remove his fez cannot possibly be interpreted as a slight on Egypt's honour, and therefore no question of apologies or guarantees can arise. The semi-official statement concludes by suggesting that unless Egypt persists in trying to create an incident out of something which never took place, the matter will be considered as closed, and as having served the useful purpose of giving Turkey an opportunity of demonstrating its friendship for Egypt.

5. It is obvious that the Turkish Government does not wish the matter to develop further, and that it has been driven to publish a false version because a

(1) Not printed.

true account would be humiliating for its President and itself. It is probable, too, that it hopes that its version will convince and content Egyptian opinion, and thus allow the Egyptian Government to withdraw or soften its demands. I gather from the Egyptian Minister that his Government had hoped that the matter would fade into oblivion without the necessity for action on its part. It may perhaps find it expedient to accept the Turkish explanation. It would be a pity if a trivial indiscretion of one who was at the time not completely master of himself should embitter relations between two countries.

6. I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's representative in Cairo.

I have, &c.
JAMES MORGAN.

E 6709/6523/44 No. 38.

Sir P. Loraine to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 16.)

(No. 224. Confidential.)

(Telegraphic.) *Cairo, December 16, 1932.*

SIR G. CLERK'S despatch No. 356.

I fear developments of tarboosh incident are serious, and that danger of a rupture between Turkey and Egypt is disagreeably close.

I recently received visit from Turkish Chargé d'Affaires, who spoke quite personally and unofficially. What came out is that Egyptian request for assurance for the future has aroused indignation in Turkey, that Turkish Government are making it a national issue to back up the Ghazi and cover up his blunder, and will, therefore, not take much trouble to avoid a rupture. He had not yet received text of Turkish note to be handed to Egyptian Government, but understood that besides probably unpalatable portions it would contain passages of which Egyptian Government could take act in such manner as to score a satisfaction. What Turks really want is to find a formula which, without giving Ghazi away, can be regarded by Egyptians as a satisfaction, but cannot be construed as a Turkish surrender. This to be coupled with a withdrawal of notes. He insisted that unless a solution were found soon it would be too late to get one.

Chargé d'Affaires also said impression existed at Angora that England, and especially London press, had written up the incident in order to drive a wedge between Turkey and Egypt.

As regards this point, I said if such childish nonsense was believed by Turkish Government I had nothing whatever to say. He said there was no such responsibility suggested against His Majesty's Government or against myself.

I then said that in my opinion as a private individual the Ghazi had been in the wrong, and that some satisfaction was due to Egypt. I saw no reason why Turkish Government should not at least assure Egyptian Government that their national costume enjoyed every respect. As we were always desirous that Egypt should be on harmonious terms with her neighbours, I would discuss the matter with Sidky, but on a purely personal basis.

Later in the same evening I saw Sidky and told him gist of what Turkish Chargé d'Affaires had said. Sidky said he certainly desired no quarrel with Turkey. Idea of withdrawing Egyptian note was, however, repugnant to him; and he could not help resenting apparent attempt of Turkey to browbeat Egypt, who was the offended party and entitled to satisfaction. He did not believe Egyptian interests would suffer by a withdrawal of Egyptian diplomatic and consular missions, and knew he could rely on British help to watch over them as in the past.

He had not received Turkish note, but he promised to look at it carefully in search for a method of honourable solution, and said he would consult me personally again before doing anything.

I trust you will approve my language. On each occasion I spoke only in a personal capacity. As both parties had come to me about this storm in a hat, I judged it advisable to exert personal influence in a calming sense.

(Repeated to Angora.)

E 6709/6523/44 No. 39.

Sir John Simon to Sir P. Loraine (Cairo).

(No. 247.)
(Telegraphic.) *Foreign Office, December 19, 1932.*

YOUR telegram No. 224 of 16th December: Tarboosh incident.

I entirely approve your language, and trust that you will have further opportunity to continue to exercise your influence in favour of early and amicable settlement.

(Repeated to Angora, No. 33.)

E 6836/6523/44 No. 40.

Sir P. Loraine to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 23.)

(No. 229.)
(Telegraphic.) *Cairo, December 23, 1932.*

YOUR telegram No. 247.

Prime Minister privately communicated to me text of Turkish reply to Egyptian note.

Turkish note expresses surprise at Egyptian account of a fact which had been amicably discussed by Minister for Foreign Affairs and Egyptian Minister, who had agreed regarding signification to be attached to the Ghazi's words, *i.e.*, that it was merely a normal invitation to him to remove his tarboosh for comfort's sake. There could therefore be no question of expression of regret by Minister for Foreign Affairs in that conversation about an incident which had not occurred. Note then refers to tendentious and invented news, which were able to cloud friendly Turco-Egyptian relations by deforming the meaning of a friendly gesture. With regard to Egyptian national head-dress, every State was free to adopt the uniform it wished, as it was natural that every State should regulate its protocol by taking into consideration the exigencies of national and international life.

Note concludes with a very cordial expression of desire of Turkish Government to maintain and strengthen the friendly relations between the two peoples.

In communicating this note Prime Minister sent me an informal [? group omitted] to the effect that draft Egyptian reply would be discussed by Cabinet on 22nd December. I at once sent his Excellency a private letter informing him that you had asked me to continue to exercise my personal influence in the direction of an amicable settlement. I therefore expressed hope that he would be able to find in Turkish note the possibility of an amicable settlement.

Yesterday afternoon Prime Minister replied by sending me draft Egyptian reply, and adding that he had delayed its submission to the Cabinet in order to profit by my views.

Egyptian reply in courteous terms regrets Turkish version and maintains Egyptian version of incident. Recognising friendly protestations of Turkish note, Egyptian Government does not wish to refer again to questions dealt with in notes of the two Governments, but maintains its previous request for assurances regarding right of Egyptian diplomatic representatives to wear their national head-dress.

In response to private representations by me, Prime Minister said that subject to consultation with his Ministers he was disposed to modify note so as to avoid demand of assurances and to take act of relevant passage in Turkish note as admitting Egyptian claim regarding national head-dress (see last sentence of paragraph 2 above). Advantage of this modification is that Egyptian note need not be answered unless Turkish Government wish to be unreasonable, while Turkish silence can be regarded by Egyptian Government as admission of its claim.

I was careful to explain that I had no wish to dictate line of action, but was merely acquainting him with ideas which had occurred to me for a friendly settlement which was in the interest of both countries.

Should Turkish Government show signs of intransigence you will doubtless consider advisability of authorising His Majesty's Ambassador at Angora to exercise such similar moderating influence as may be possible.

(Repeated to Angora.)

E 6837/6523/44 No. 41.

Sir P. Loraine to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 26.)

(No. 232. Confidential.)
(Telegraphic.) *Cairo, December 26, 1932.*

MY telegram No. 229.

Sidky has communicated to me privately final text of his note to Turkish Government, which is wholly commendable. His deference to my counsels of moderation has been even greater than I thought possible. All controversial discussion of incident has been omitted, my suggestions as pointed out in my telegram under reference have been adopted, note requires no answer, and as it courteously takes act of assurances implied in Turkish note, it seems inconceivable that the Turks could find anything objectionable in it.

Sidky has made it plain to me, however, that, if nevertheless Turks make trouble, he intends to withdraw Egyptian diplomatic and consular missions.

If Turks reject this olive branch, I for my part shall be satisfied that they wish to pick a quarrel.

Please repeat to Angora.

(Repeated by Foreign Office to Angora, No. 34.)

E 6837/6523/44 No. 42.

Sir John Simon to Mr. Morgan (Angora).

(No. 35.)
(Telegraphic.) *Foreign Office, December 28, 1932.*

SIR P. LORAINE'S telegram No. 229 of 23rd December: Turco-Egyptian relations—Fez incident, last paragraph.

I leave to your discretion any informal moderating influence.

(Repeated to Cairo, No. 251.)

E 6902/6523/44 No. 43.

Mr. Morgan to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 29.)

(No. 21.)
(Telegraphic.) *Angora, December 29, 1932.*

YOUR telegram No. 35

I yesterday urged on the Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs desirability of accepting the moderate and conciliatory Egyptian reply as final and satisfactory closing of incident. He paid tribute to moderating influence exercised in Cairo and London by the representatives of His Majesty's Government, and said that telegraphed summary of reply which had just reached Angora seemed to be very satisfactory, and finally to dispose of question, unless text of note when received caused Turkish Government to think otherwise, which was unlikely.

To-day a semi-official communiqué in Turkish press states summary of Egyptian reply has made a good impression here, and that gratification will be felt in both countries at amicable end of question which had arisen between the two sister nations.

(Repeated to Cairo.)

E 6954/847/44

No. 44.

Mr. Morgan to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 31.)

(No. 407.)

Angora, December 19, 1932.

Sir,

WITH reference to Sir George Clerk's despatch No. 316 of the 27th September last, and to previous correspondence relative to the completion of the railway between Samsun and Sivas, I have the honour to report that the first through communication by rail between Angora and Samsun was inaugurated here on the 15th December. The first through coach for Samsun, which had left Haydarpaşa the evening before, was attached to the daily train from Angora to Kayseri and Sivas, and the occasion was celebrated by the presence on the platform at Angora of the Minister of Public Works and a number of his subordinates, as well as a small crowd of onlookers. It may be presumed that more people would have been present had the press not announced that the "ceremony" would take place at 11·15, whereas the train left punctually at 11. The engine and the special Samsun coach were decorated with Turkish flags, and on a placard suspended from the funnel were the words: "Greetings from Angora to Samsun by the first through train." When the train moved out of the station not a cheer was raised nor a hat waved, a fact which did not deter the press from referring to the scenes of enthusiasm which the occasion called forth.

2. The through portion of the train reached Samsun at 8 P.M. on the following day, *i.e.*, after a journey of thirty-three hours, and at 5·30 P.M. on that day the first train from Samsun arrived at Angora, whence a portion of it left for Mersin, via Eskişehir, bearing the inscription: "Greetings from the Black Sea to the Mediterranean." Through communication between Haydarpaşa and Samsun via Angora is to be effected three times weekly in each direction, leaving Haydarpaşa on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays, and Samsun on Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays. I enclose a time-table showing the hours of departure from and arrival at each terminus and the principal intermediate stations.(1)

3. Except on the Haydarpaşa–Angora section of the line, no sleeping- nor restaurant-car will be at the disposal of travellers to or from Samsun. The sleeping-car which was run for some months between Haydarpaşa and Sivas and *vice versa* has recently been taken off, as it was used, on the Angora–Sivas sector, by only about half-a-dozen passengers during the whole of that period.

4. I am sending a copy of this despatch to the Department of Overseas Trade and to His Majesty's consulates at Mersin and Trebizond.

I have, &c.

JAMES MORGAN.

(1) Not printed.

E 6904/33/34

No. 45.

Mr. Morgan to Sir John Simon.—(Received December 30.)

(No. 411.)

Angora, December 29, 1932.

Sir,

WITH reference to Sir George Clerk's despatch No. 362 of the 8th November, 1932, in which he reported the signature of a treaty of friendship and a treaty of neutrality and of political and economic co-operation between Turkey and Persia, I have the honour to inform you that the texts of these two instruments have now been published in the Turkish press.

2. I have the honour to transmit to you the attached copies of the two texts in question as published in French in the Constantinople newspaper the *République*. These French versions correspond with the Turkish versions given in the semi-official newspaper *Hakimiyeti Milliye*.

3. I am sending a copy of this despatch and of its enclosures to His Majesty's Minister in Tehran.

I have, &c.

JAMES MORGAN.

Enclosure 1 in No. 45.

Traité d'Amitié entre la Turquie et la Perse.

LA République turque et l'Empire de Perse, également animés du désir de resserrer les liens d'amitié et de fraternité heureusement existant entre eux, ayant convenu de rétablir, dans un nouveau traité d'amitié, les dispositions des articles de leur traité d'amitié et de sécurité du 22 avril 1926, concernant les relations générales des deux pays, ont, à cette fin, désigné pour leurs plénipotentiaires, savoir:

Le Président de la République turque: son Excellence le Dr. Tevfik Rüştü Bey, Ministre des Affaires étrangères, Député d'Izmir;

Sa Majesté impériale le Schah de Perse: son Altesse Mirza Mohamed Ali Khan Firoughi, Ministre des Affaires étrangères;

Lesquels, après s'être communiqué leurs pleins pouvoirs, reconnus en bonne et due forme, sont convenus des dispositions suivantes:

ARTICLE 1er.

Il y aura paix inviolable et amitié sincère et perpétuelle entre la République turque et l'Empire de Perse ainsi qu'entre les ressortissants des deux pays.

ARTICLE 2.

Les hautes parties contractantes conviennent que les représentants diplomatiques et consulaires de chacune d'elles continueront à recevoir sur le territoire de l'autre, à charge de réciprocité, le traitement consacré par les principes et la pratique du droit commun international, traitement qui, en tout cas et également sous condition de réciprocité, ne pourra être moins favorable que le traitement accordé aux représentants diplomatiques et consulaires de la nation la plus favorisée.

ARTICLE 3.

Les hautes parties contractantes sont d'accord pour régler les relations consulaires, commerciales, douanières et de navigation entre leurs pays ainsi que les conditions de la résidence et du séjour des ressortissants de chacune d'elles sur le territoire de l'autre, par des conventions conformes aux principes et à la pratique du droit commun international et sur la base d'une parfaite réciprocité.

ARTICLE 4.

Le précédent [*sic*, *l*'présent] traité sera ratifié et l'échange des instruments de ratification aura lieu à Téhéran le plus tôt que faire se pourra. Il entrera en vigueur avec l'échange des ratifications.

En foi de quoi, les plénipotentiaires respectifs ont signé le présent traité et y ont apposé leurs sceaux.

Fait en double à Ankara, le 5 novembre 1932

M. A. FIROUGHI Dr. T. RÜSTÜ.

Enclosure 2 in No. 45.

Traité entre la Turquie et la Perse.

LA République turque et l'Empire persan, animés du désir de resserrer les liens de sincère amitié si heureusement existant entre eux, et considérant la nécessité de déterminer les conditions concrètes de leurs rapports politiques et économiques, ont résolu de renouveler leur traité d'amitié et de sécurité du

22 avril 1926 (1er ordibehecht 1305), et ont, à cet effet, désigné pour leurs plénipotentiaires, savoir :

Le Président de la République turque : son Excellence le Dr. Tevfik Rüştü Bey, Ministre des Affaires étrangères, Député d'Izmir ;

Sa Majesté impériale le Schah de Perse : son Altesse Mirza Mohamed Ali Khan Firoughi, Ministre des Affaires étrangères ;

Lesquels, après s'être communiqué leurs pleins pouvoirs, reconnus en bonne et due forme, sont convenus des dispositions suivantes :

ARTICLE 1er.

En cas d'une action militaire dirigée contre l'une des hautes parties contractantes par une ou plusieurs Puissances tierces, l'autre partie contractante s'engage à observer la neutralité vis-à-vis de la première.

ARTICLE 2.

Chacune des deux parties contractantes s'engage à ne se livrer à aucune agression vis-à-vis de l'autre ni à faire partie d'aucune alliance ou entente politique, économique ou financière conclue par une ou plusieurs Puissances tierces et dirigée contre l'autre partie ou contre la sécurité militaire et navale de son pays.

Chacune des deux parties contractantes s'engage en outre à ne pas participer à n'importe quelle action hostile dirigée par une ou plusieurs Puissances tierces contre l'autre partie.

ARTICLE 3.

Au cas où une ou plusieurs Puissances tierces, procédant aux actes d'hostilité et aux opérations militaires contre l'une des hautes parties contractantes, violeraient la neutralité de l'autre partie en vue d'utiliser son territoire pour des passages de troupes, armes, munitions de guerre ou pour des fournitures de vivres, bestiaux et n'importe quelles choses susceptibles de servir à la guerre, ou enfin pour le passage des troupes battant en retraite ou en vue d'exciter et de soulever les populations du territoire neutre dans le but de les employer à leurs fins, ou bien d'opérer des reconnaissances militaires sur ledit territoire, cette partie devra s'opposer par les armes à ces actions pour sauvegarder sa neutralité.

ARTICLE 4

Au cas où l'une des deux parties contractantes serait l'objet d'une action d'hostilité de la part d'une ou de plusieurs tierces Puissances, l'autre partie contractante déploiera tous ses efforts pour remédier à la situation. Si, malgré ces efforts, la guerre devenait un fait accompli, les deux parties contractantes s'engagent à examiner de nouveau la situation soigneusement et dans un esprit de bienveillance dans le but de parvenir à trouver une solution conforme à leurs intérêts supérieurs.

ARTICLE 5.

Les deux parties contractantes s'engagent à ne point admettre sur leur territoire la formation ou le séjour d'organisations ou de groupements ayant pour but de troubler la paix et la sécurité de l'autre pays ou de changer son gouvernement, ainsi que le séjour de personnes ou de groupements projetant de lutter par propagande ou par tout autre moyen contre l'autre pays.

ARTICLE 6

Les deux hautes parties contractantes sont d'accord pour régler dans le plus bref délai possible les conditions de leur collaboration dans le domaine économique. Il reste entendu que le choix et le règlement des moyens de la réalisation de cette collaboration ainsi que la question du libre transit à travers les territoires des deux parties et celle de l'établissement des moyens de communication de toutes sortes entre les deux pays seront étudiés soigneusement par les délégués spécialistes compétents qui seront désignés de la part des deux hautes parties contractantes.

ARTICLE 7.

Il est entendu qu'en dehors des engagements mutuels pris dans le présent traité, chacune des hautes parties contractantes conserve son entière liberté d'action en ce qui concerne ses relations avec des tierces Puissances.

ARTICLE 8.

Le présent traité sera ratifié, et les ratifications en seront échangées le plus tôt possible à Téhéran.

Il entrera en vigueur immédiatement après l'échange des ratifications et aura la durée de cinq années. Si le traité n'est pas dénoncé par l'une ou l'autre des hautes parties contractantes six mois avant l'expiration de ladite période de cinq années, il sera considéré comme ayant automatiquement sa durée de validité une année en plus, la dénonciation ne pouvant toujours produire ses effets qu'après l'expiration de six mois.

En foi de quoi, les plénipotentiaires respectifs ont signé le présent traité et y ont apposé leurs sceaux.

Fait à Ankara, en double exemplaire, le 5 novembre 1932.

M. A. FIROUGHI. DR. T. RÜŞTÜ.

o